arXiv:1608.03057v1 [nlin.SI] 10 Aug 2016

LONG-TIME ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE SHORT PULSE EQUATION

JIAN XU*

Abstract. In this paper, we analyze the long-time behavior of the solution of the initial value problem (IVP) for the short pulse (SP) equation. As the SP equation is a complete integrable system, which posses a Wadati-Konno-Ichikawa (WKI)-type Lax pair, we formulate a 2×2 matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem to this IVP by using the inverse scattering method. Since the spectral variable k is the same order in the WKI-type Lax pair, we construct the solution of this IVP parametrically in the new scale (y, t), whereas the original scale (x, t) is given in terms of functions in the new scale, in terms of the solution of this Riemann-Hilbert problem. However, by employing the nonlinear steepest descent method of Deift and Zhou for oscillatory Riemann-Hilbert problem, we can get the explicit leading order asymptotic of the solution of the short pulse equation in the original scale (x, t) as time t goes to infinity.

1. Introduction

The present work is devoted to the study of the long-time asymptotic behavior of the short pulse (SP) equation formulated on the whole line,

$$u_{xt} = u + \frac{1}{6}(u^3)_{xx}, \tag{1.1a}$$

where $u(x, t)$ is a real-valued function, which represents the magnitude of the electric field, while the subscripts t and x denote partial differentiations, with the initial value data

$$u(x, t = 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.1b}$$

Date: August 11, 2016.

Key words and phrases. Riemann-Hilbert problem, Short pulse equation, Initial value problem, Long-time asymptotics.

and assuming that $u_0(x)$ lies in Schwartz space.

The SP equation was reproposed in [1] by Schäfer and Wayne to describe the propagation of ultra-short optical pulses in silica optical fibers. Usually, in nonlinear optic, the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation was always used to model the slowly varying wave trains. As the pulse duration shortens, however, the NLS equation becomes less accurate, the SP equation provides an increasingly better approximation to the corresponding solution of the Maxwell equations [2]. For the details of physical background, see [1] and references therein.

Actually, the SP equation appeared first as one of Rabelo's equations which describe pseudospherical surfaces, possessing a zero-curvature representation, in [3]. Recently, the Wadati-Konno-Ichikawa (WKI) type Lax pair of the SP equation was rediscovered in [4] (see the following (2.1a)). The integrable properties of SP equation like bi-Hamiltonian structure and the conservation laws were studied in [5, 6]. The loop-soliton solutions the short pulse equation was found in [7]. The connection between the short pulse equation and the sine-Gordon equation through the hodograph transformation was found by Matsuno, and thus, multi-soliton solutions including multi-loop and multi-breather ones were given in [8]. And a lot of generalizations of the SP equation, such as vector SP equation, discretizations of SP equation, complex SP equation,and so on, were studied in [9–11] and references therein.

The local well-posedness in H^2 (which denotes the usually Sobelev space) and non-existence of smooth traveling wave solutions were shown in [1], and global well-posedness of small solutions was proved in [12] for SP equation in H^2 by using conservation laws. In [13], Liu, Pelinovsky and Sakovich showed the blow-up result for the SP equation for large data.

The purpose of this paper is to analyzing the long-time asymptotic behavior of the SP equation. Due to the SP equation admits a Lax pair, the inverse scattering transform method can be used to solve the initial

value problem for the SP equation. Here, we relate the inverse scattering problem to a 2×2-matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem. The most important advantage of formulating the initial value problem (1.1a)-(1.1b) as a Riemann-Hilbert problem is that it can be analyzed the long-time asymptotic behavior of the solution of the initial value problem by employing the nonlinear steepest descent method introduced by Deift and Zhou [14]. This method has previous applied to many integrable equations, such as the NLS equation [15], the Sine-Gordon equation [16], the KdV equation [17], the Fokas-Lenells equation [18], the Camassa-Holm equation [19] and so on.

Although the long-time asymptotic analysis of (1.1a) is in many ways similar to those of integrable equations, it also presents some distinctive features: *(1)* In order to arrive at a Riemann-Hilbert problem with the appropriate boundary condition at infinity, **since the spectral variable k is the same order in the Lax pair** (which is different from the Fokas-Lenells equation and the Camassa-Holm equation), it first has to be transformed by introduction of a matrix $G(x,t)$. This modification is made possible since the conservation law (2.15) holds. *(2)* In order to construct the solution $u(x,t)$, we need the asymptotic information as spectral variable $k \to 0$, not as $k \to \infty$. Hence, we need analyze the spectral problem near both $k = \infty$ and $k = 0$, respectively. Although the asymptotic behavior as $k \to 0$ contains all the information of the solution $u(x,t)$, we also cannot use it directly since the exponential term $e^{ikp(x,t,k)\sigma_3}$ of the jump matrix $J(x,t,k)$ involves the unknown function $m(x,t)$, see (2.13). We need introducing a new scale variable $y(x,t)$, this leads to the solution u reconstructed from the Riemann-Hilbert problem becomes implicitly (u depends on (y,t) and y depends on (x,t)). Fortunately, we can obtain the leading order explicit asymptotic behavior of the solution u which depends on the original variable (x,t), since the reflection coefficient $r(k)$ is rapidly decaying as $k \to 0$. **The solution $u(x,t)$ is constructed from a 2×2-matrix Riemann-Hilbert problem in terms of the order**

$O(k)$ **as** $k \to 0$, *which is different from the Camassa-Holm equation [19] and the modified Hunter-Saxton equation in [20], where they construct the solution from a* 1×2*-vector Riemann-Hilbert problem.*

Remark 1.1. *We note that although there exists a gauge transformation between WKI type Lax pair and AKNS type Lax pair, (especially, the short pulse equation can be transformed to Sine-Gordon equation [7]), it can not use this gauge transformation to analyze the long-time asymptotic behavior of the short pulse, since there is an unknown function related to the solution* $u(x,t)$ *in the transformation. We need analyze the asymptotic behavior directly. By formulating a vector Riemann-Hilbert problem in this paper, we successfully analyse the asymptotic behavior as* $t \to \infty$*. To the author's knowledge, this is the first time to analyze long-time asymptotic behavior of the nonlinear evolution equation with WKI type Lax pair. In fact, many equations with physical implications have been found to posses WKI type Lax pair, such as the stretched rope equation [21], the modified Camassa-Holm (mCH) equation [22], and so on. Hence, it may make us to analyze the long-time behavior of the mCH equation in the future work.*

The main results of this paper are summarized by the following theorems:

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $u_0(x)$ *satisfy the initial value (1.1b) and be such that no discrete spectrum is present. For* $\xi = \frac{x}{t} > \varepsilon$*,* ε *be any small positive number, the solution* $u(x,t)$ *of the initial value problem (1.1a)-(1.1b) tends to* 0 *fast decay as* $t \to \infty$*.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let* $u_0(x)$ *satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2. For* $\xi = \frac{x}{t} < -\varepsilon$*,* ε *be any small positive number, the solution* $u(x,t)$ *of the initial value problem (1.1a)-(1.1b) equals*

$$u(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{-4\nu(\kappa_0)}{\kappa_0 t}} \sin\{\frac{t}{\kappa_0} + \nu(\kappa_0)\ln(\frac{4t}{\kappa_0}) + \phi(\kappa_0)\} + O\left(\frac{\ln(t)}{t}\right), \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\kappa_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-4\xi}} \tag{1.3}$$

and $\nu(\kappa_0)$ *is defined as (5.57) replaced* k_0 *with* κ_0, $\phi(\kappa_0)$ *is defined as (5.114).*

Remark 1.4. *The sectors of different asymptotic behavior match, as* $\varepsilon \to 0$*, through the fast decay. Indeed, as* $\frac{x}{t} \to 0^-$*, then* $\kappa_0 \to \infty$ *and* $\nu(\kappa_0) \to 0$ *and thus the amplitude in (5.113) decays faster.*

Organization of the paper: In section 2, since the associated Lax pair of short pulse (1.1a) has singularities at $k = 0$ and $k = \infty$, we perform the spectral analysis to deal with the two singularities, respectively. In section 3, we formulate the associated vector Riemann-Hilbert in an alternative space variable y instead of the original space variable x. Hence, we can reconstruct the solution $u(x, t)$ parameterized from the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem via the asymptotic behavior of the spectral variable at $k = 0$. In section 4 and 5, we can also obtain the asymptotic relation between y and x when analyzing the vector Riemann-Hilbert problem by using the nonlinear steepest descent method. Hence, we can calculate the leading order asymptotic behavior of the solution $u(x, t)$ and prove the main results of this paper, i.e., theorem 1.2 and 1.3, respectively.

2. Spectral Analysis

The beginning of the long-time asymptotic analysis is to formulate the initial value problem for the short pulse equation to a Riemann-Hilbert problem. It depends on short pulse equation admits a WKI type Lax pair,

$$\Psi_x = U(x, t, k)\Psi, \tag{2.1a}$$

$$\Psi_t = V(x, t, k)\Psi. \tag{2.1b}$$

where

$$U = ikU_1. \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$V = \frac{ik}{2}u^2U_1 + \frac{1}{4ik}\sigma_3 - \frac{iu}{2}\sigma_2, \tag{2.3}$$

with

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_x \\ u_x & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

Usually, we only use the $x-$part of Lax pair to analyze the initial value problem for the integrable equation by inverse scattering transform method. The $t-$part of Lax pair is only used to determine the time evolution of the scattering data. However, from the Lax pair (2.1), we know that there are singularities at $k = \infty$ and $k = 0$. We notice that the Camassa-Holm equation [19], modified Hunter-Saxton equation [20] also possess two singularities in the Lax pair of integrable nonlinear evolution equations. In order to reconstruct the solution $u(x,t)$ of the SP equation (1.1a), we need use the $t-$part or using the expansion of the eigenfunction as spectral parameter $k \to 0$. Hence, in the following we use two different transformations to analyze these two singularities ($k = \infty$ and $k = 0$), respectively.

2.1. **For $k = 0$.**

2.1.1. *The closed one-form.* Introducing the following transformation

$$\Psi(x,t,k) = \mu^0(x,t,k)e^{(ikx+\frac{t}{4ik})\sigma_3}, \tag{2.5}$$

then we get the Lax pair of μ^0

$$\begin{cases} \mu^0_x - ik[\sigma_3, \mu^0] = V^0_1\mu^0, \\ \mu^0_t - \frac{1}{4ik}[\sigma_3, \mu^0] = V^0_2\mu^0, \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$V^0_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iku_x \\ iku_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^0_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{ik}{2}u^2 & \frac{ik}{2}u^2u_x - \frac{u}{2} \\ \frac{ik}{2}u^2u_x + \frac{u}{2} & -\frac{ik}{2}u^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.7}$$

Letting $\hat{A}$ denotes the operators which acts on a 2×2 matrix X by $\hat{A}X = [A, X]$, then the Lax pair of μ^0 (2.6) can be written as

$$d(e^{-(ikx+\frac{t}{4ik})\hat{\sigma}_3}\mu^0) = W^0(x,t,k), \tag{2.8}$$

where $W^0(x,t,k)$ is the closed one-form defined by

$$W^0(x,t,k) = e^{-(ikx+\frac{t}{4ik})\hat{\sigma}_3}(V_1^0 dx + V_2^0 dt)\mu^0. \tag{2.9}$$

2.1.2. *The Jost functions μ_j^0.* We define two eigenfunctions $\{\mu_j^0\}_{j=1}^2$ of (2.6) by the Volterra integral equations,

$$\mu_1^0(x,t,k) = \mathbb{I} + \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{ik(x-y)\hat{\sigma}_3} V_1^0(y,t,k)\mu_1^0(y,t,k)dy, \tag{2.10a}$$

$$\mu_2^0(x,t,k) = \mathbb{I} - \int_{x}^{+\infty} e^{ik(x-y)\hat{\sigma}_3} V_1^0(y,t,k)\mu_2^0(y,t,k)dy. \tag{2.10b}$$

Proposition 2.1. *(Analytic property) From the above definition, we find that the functions $\{\mu_j^0\}_1^2$ are bounded and analytic properties as following:*

- *$[\mu_1^0]_1(x,t,k)$ is bounded and analytic in D_2, $[\mu_1^0]_2(x,t,k)$ is in D_1;*
- *$[\mu_2^0]_1(x,t,k)$ is bounded and analytic in D_1, $[\mu_2^0]_2(x,t,k)$ is in D_2.*

where $[\mu_j]_i$ denotes the $i-$th column of μ_j, D_1 denotes the upper-half plane and D_2 denotes the lower-half plane of the complex $k-$sphere.

Proposition 2.2. *(Asymptotic property) The functions $\mu_j^0(x,t,k)$ have the expansions in powers of k, for $k \to 0$,*

$$\mu_j^0(x,t,k) = \mathbb{I} + iu(x,t)\sigma_1 k + [-\frac{u^2}{2}\mathbb{I} + i(u^2u_x - 2u_t)\sigma_2]k^2 + O(k^3). \tag{2.11}$$

2.2. **For $k = \infty$.**

2.2.1. *The closed one-form.* Define a 2×2 matrix-value function $G(x,t)$ as

$$G(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{m}+1}{2\sqrt{m}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\sqrt{m}-1}{u_x} \\ \frac{\sqrt{m}-1}{u_x} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.12}$$

where m is a function of (x,t) defined by

$$m = 1 + u_x^2. \tag{2.13}$$

Remark 2.3. *Notice that when $u_x \to 0$, the nominator $\sqrt{m}-1$ is a high order infinitesimal than denominator u_x. So, the matrix function $G(x,t)$ is well-defined.*

Define

$$p(x,t,k) = x - \int_x^\infty (\sqrt{m(x',t)} - 1)dx' - \frac{t}{4k^2}. \tag{2.14}$$

As we can write the SPE (1.1a) into the conservation law form:

$$(\sqrt{m})_t = \frac{1}{2}(u^2\sqrt{m})_x, \quad m = 1 + u_x^2, \tag{2.15}$$

we get

$$p_x = \sqrt{m}, \quad p_t = \frac{1}{2}u^2\sqrt{m} - \frac{1}{4k^2}. \tag{2.16}$$

And introducing a transformation

$$\Psi(x,t,k) = G(x,t)\mu(x,t,k)e^{ikp(x,t,k)\sigma_3} \tag{2.17}$$

then we find the Lax pair equations

$$\begin{cases} \mu_x - ikp_x[\sigma_3, \mu] = V_1\mu, \\ \mu_t - ikp_t[\sigma_3, \mu] = V_2\mu, \end{cases} \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$V_1 = \frac{iu_{xx}}{2m}\sigma_2, \tag{2.19a}$$

$$V_2 = \frac{1}{4ik}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} - 1)\sigma_3 + \frac{iu^2u_{xx}}{4m}\sigma_2 - \frac{1}{4ik}\frac{u_x}{\sqrt{m}}\sigma_1, \tag{2.19b}$$

with $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

then the equations in (2.18) can be written in differential form as

$$d(e^{-ikp(x,t,k)\hat{\sigma}_3}\mu) = W(x,t,k), \tag{2.20}$$

where $W(x,t,k)$ is the closed one-form defined by

$$W = e^{-ikp(x,t,k)\hat{\sigma}_3}(V_1dx + V_2dt)\mu. \tag{2.21}$$

2.2.2. *The Jost functions μ_j.* We define two eigenfunctions $\{\mu_j\}_1^2$ of (2.18) by the Volterra integral equations

$$\mu_1(x,t,k) = \mathbb{I} + \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{ik[p(x,t,k)-p(y,t,k)]\hat{\sigma}_3} V_1(y,t,k)\mu_1(y,t,k)dy, \quad (2.22a)$$

$$\mu_2(x,t,k) = \mathbb{I} - \int_{x}^{+\infty} e^{ik[p(x,t,k)-p(y,t,k)]\hat{\sigma}_3} V_1(y,t,k)\mu_2(y,t,k)dy. \quad (2.22b)$$

Proposition 2.4. *(Analytic property) From the above definition, we find that the functions $\{\mu_j\}_1^2$ are bounded and analytic properties as following:*

- *$[\mu_1]_1(x,t,k)$ is bounded and analytic in D_2, $[\mu_1]_2(x,t,k)$ is in D_1;*
- *$[\mu_2]_1(x,t,k)$ is bounded and analytic in D_1, $[\mu_2]_1(x,t,k)$ is in D_2.*

Proposition 2.5. *(Large k property) The matrix functions $\mu_j(x,t,k)$ also satisfy the asymptotic condition*

$$\mu_j(x,t,k) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{D_1(x,t)}{k} + O(\frac{1}{k^2}), \quad k \to \infty, \quad (2.23)$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is an 2×2 identity matrix, and the off-diagonal entries of the matrix $D_1(x,t)$ are

$$D_{12}(x,t) = \frac{i}{4}\frac{u_{xx}}{m\sqrt{m}}, \quad D_{21}(x,t) = \frac{i}{4}\frac{u_{xx}}{m\sqrt{m}}. \quad (2.24)$$

2.2.3. *The scattering matrix $S(k)$.* Because the eigenfunctions $\mu_1(x,t,k)$ and $\mu_2(x,t,k)$ are both the solutions of the Lax pair (2.18), they are related by a matrix $S(k)$ which is independent of the variable (x,t).

$$\mu_1(x,t,k) = \mu_2(x,t,k)e^{ikp(x,t,k)\hat{\sigma}_3}S(k). \quad (2.25)$$

By the definition of $\mu_j(x,t,k), j=1,2$ (2.22), the matrix $S(k)$ has the form

$$S(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{a(\bar{k})} & b(k) \\ -\overline{b(\bar{k})} & a(k) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.26)$$

The function $a(k)$ can be computed by

$$a(k) = \det([\mu_2]_1, [\mu_1]_2), \quad (2.27)$$

where $\det(A)$ means the determinate of a matrix A. We can know that $a(k)$ is analytic in D_1.

Assumption 2.6. *In this paper, we assume that the initial value $u_0(x)$ is chosen such that $a(k)$ has no zero (usually, we can assume $u_0(x)$ has small norm).*

Proposition 2.7. *The proposition (2.8) together with (2.27) allows expressing the expansions in powers of k of $a(k)$ at $k = 0$,*

$$a(k) = 1 + ikc - \frac{c^2}{2}k^2 + O(k^3). \tag{2.28}$$

2.3. **The relation between $\mu_j(x,t,k)$ and $\mu_j^0(x,t,k)$.** As usual, we use the eigenfunctions μ_j to define the matrix $M(x,t,k)$ (see (3.8)) which is used to formulate a Riemann-Hilbert problem. However, in order to construct the solution $u(x,t)$ from the associate Riemann-Hilbert problem, we need the asymptotic behavior of μ_j as $k \to 0$. So, we need related the eigenfunctions $\mu_j(x,t,k)$ to $\mu_j^0(x,t,k)$.

Note that the eigenfunctions $\mu(x,t,k)$ and $\mu^0(x,t,k)$ being related to the same Lax pair (2.1), must be related to each other as

$$\mu_j(x,t,k) = G^{-1}(x,t)\mu_j^0(x,t,k)e^{(ikx+\frac{t}{4ik})\sigma_3}C_j(k)e^{-ikp(x,t,k)\sigma_3} \tag{2.29}$$

with $C_j(k)$ independent of x and t. Evaluating (2.29) as $x \to \pm\infty$ gives

$$C_1(k) = e^{-ikc\sigma_3}, \qquad C_2(k) = \mathbb{I}, \tag{2.30}$$

where $c = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}(\sqrt{m(x,t)} - 1)dx$ is a quantity conserved under the dynamics governed by (1.1a).

Proposition 2.8. *The functions $\mu_j(x,t,k)$ and $\mu_j^0(x,t,k)$ are related as follows:*

$$\mu_1(x,t,k) = G^{-1}(x,t)\mu_1^0(x,t,k)e^{-ik\int_{-\infty}^{x}(\sqrt{m(x',t)}-1)dx'\sigma_3}, \tag{2.31a}$$

$$\mu_2(x,t,k) = G^{-1}(x,t)\mu_2^0(x,t,k)e^{ik\int_{x}^{+\infty}(\sqrt{m(x',t)}-1)dx'\sigma_3}. \tag{2.31b}$$

3. The Riemann-Hilbert problem

3.1. **Definition of a Riemann-Hilbert problem.** In this subsection, we first explain what a Riemann-Hilbert problem is:

Definition 3.1. *Let the contour Γ be the union of a finite number of smooth and oriented curves (orientation means that each arc of Γ has a positive side and a negative side: the positive (respectively, negative) side lies to the left (respectively, right) as one traverses the contour in the direction of the arrow) on the Riemann sphere $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ (i.e. the complex plane with the point at infinity) such that $\bar{\mathbb{C}}\backslash\Gamma$ has only a finite number of connected components. Let $V(k)$ be an 2×2 matrix defined on the contour Γ. The Riemann-Hilbert problem (Γ, V) is the problem of finding an 2×2 matrix-valued function $M(k)$ that satisfies*

(i) *$M(k)$ is analytic for $k\in\bar{\mathbb{C}}\backslash\Gamma$ and extends continuously to the contour Γ.*
(ii) *$M_+(k) = M_-(k)V(k), \quad k\in\Gamma$.*
(iii) *$M(k)\to\mathbb{I}, \quad as \quad k\to\infty$.*

The Riemann-Hilbert problem can be solved as follows (see, [23]). Assume that $V(k)$ admits some factorization

$$V(k) = b_-^{-1}(k)b_+(k), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$b_+(k) = \omega_+(k) - \mathbb{I}, \quad b_-(k) = \mathbb{I} - \omega_-(k). \tag{3.2}$$

And define

$$\omega(k) = \omega_+(k) + \omega_-(k). \tag{3.3}$$

Let

$$(C_\pm f)(k) = \int_\Gamma \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - k_\pm}\frac{d\xi}{2\pi i}, \quad k\in\Gamma, f\in L^2(\Gamma), \tag{3.4}$$

denote the Cauchy operator on Γ. As is well known, the operator $C_\pm$ are bounded from $L^2(\Gamma)$ to $L^2(\Gamma)$, and $C_+ - C_- = I$, here I denote the identify operator.

Define

$$C_\omega f = C_+(f\omega_-) + C_-(f\omega_+) \tag{3.5}$$

for 2×2 matrix-valued functions f. Let μ be the solution of the basic inverse equation

$$\mu = \mathbb{I} + C_\omega \mu. \tag{3.6}$$

Then

$$M(k) = \mathbb{I} + \int_\Gamma \frac{\mu(\xi)\omega(\xi)}{\xi - k} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i}, \quad k \in \bar{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \Gamma, \tag{3.7}$$

is the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem. (See [14],P.322).

3.2. **The Riemann-Hilbert problem for short pulse equation.** Let us define

$$M(x,t,k) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} [\mu_2]_1 & \frac{[\mu_1]_2}{a(k)} \end{pmatrix}, & k \in D_1, \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{[\mu_2]_1}{\overline{a(\bar{k})}} & [\mu_1]_2 \end{pmatrix}, & k \in D_2. \end{cases} \tag{3.8}$$

From the definition (3.8) and (2.22), we can deduce $M(x,t,k)$ satisfies the symmetry condition

$$\overline{M(x,t,\bar{k})} = M(x,t,-k) = \sigma_2 M(x,t,k)\sigma_2. \tag{3.9}$$

And $M(x,t,k)$ satisfies the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

- Jump condition: The two limiting values

$$M_\pm(x,t,k) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} M_\pm(x,t,k \pm i\varepsilon), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.10}$$

are related by

$$M_+(x,t,k) = M_-(x,t,k)J(x,t,k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$J(x,t,k) = e^{ikp(x,t,k)\hat{\sigma}_3} J_0(k) \tag{3.12}$$

here

$$J_0(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(k) \\ \overline{r(k)} & 1 + |r(k)|^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.13}$$

with $r(k) = \frac{b(k)}{a(k)}$.

- Normalize condition as $k \to \infty$

$$M(x,t,k) = \mathbb{I} + O(\frac{1}{k}). \tag{3.14}$$

In order to get the information of the solution $u(x,t)$, we should consider the asymptotic behavior of $M(x,t,k)$ as $k \to 0$, that is,

$$M(x,t,k) = G^{-1}(x,t)\left[\mathbb{I} + k(ic_+\sigma_3 + iu\sigma_1) + k^2[-\frac{c_+^2+u^2}{2}\mathbb{I} + i(uc_+ - 2u_t + u^2u_x)\sigma_2] + O(k^3)\right], \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$c_+ = \int_x^{+\infty} (\sqrt{m(x',t)} - 1)dx'. \tag{3.16}$$

Equations (3.15) show that the matrix-valued function $M(x,t,k)$ contains all necessary information for reconstructing the solution of the initial value problem of (1.1a)-(1.1b) in terms of the solution of a matrix-valued Riemann-Hilbert problem.

However, the jump relation (3.12) cannot be used immediately for recovering the solution of SP equation (1.1a)-(1.1b). Since, in the representation of the jump matrix $e^{ikp(x,t,k)\hat{\sigma}_3}J_0(k)$ the factor $J_0(k)$ is indeed given in terms of the known initial data $u_0(x)$ but $p(x,t,k)$ is not, it involves $m(x,t)$ which is unknown (and, in fact, is to be reconstructed).

To overcome this, we introduce the new (time-dependent) scale

$$y(x,t) = x - \int_x^{+\infty} (\sqrt{m(x',t)} - 1)dx' = x - c_+(x,t). \tag{3.17}$$

in terms of which the jump matrix becomes explicit. The price to pay for this, however, is that the solution of the initial problem can be given only implicitly, or parametrically: it will be given in terms of functions in the new scale, whereas the original scale will also be given in terms of functions in the new scale.

By the definition of the new scale $y(x,t)$, we define

$$\tilde{M}(y,t,k) = M(x(y,t),t,k), \tag{3.18}$$

then we can obtain the Riemann-Hilbert problem of $\tilde{M}(y,t,k)$ as follows:

- Analyticity: $\tilde{M}(y,t,k)$ is analytic in the two open half-planes D_1 and D_2, and continuous up to the boundary $k \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Jump condition: The two limiting values

$$\tilde{M}_+(y,t,k) = \tilde{M}_-(y,t,k)\tilde{J}(y,t,k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.19a}$$

where the jump matrix is

$$\tilde{J}(y,t,k) = e^{i(ky-\frac{t}{4k})\hat{\sigma}_3} J_0(k) \tag{3.19b}$$

with

$$J_0(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(k) \\ \overline{r(k)} & 1+|r(k)|^2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.19c}$$

- Normalization:

$$\tilde{M}(y,t,k) \to \mathbb{I}, \quad k \to \infty. \tag{3.20}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\tilde{M}(y,t,k)$ satisfies the above conditions, then this Riemann-Hilbert problem has a unique solution. And the solution $u(x,t)$ of the initial value problem (1.1a)-(1.1b) can be expressed, in parametric form, in terms of the solution of this Rieamnn-Hilbert problem:*

$$u(x,t) = u(y(x,t),t), \tag{3.21a}$$

where

$$x(y,t) = y + \lim_{k\to 0} \frac{\Big((\tilde{M}(y,t,0))^{-1}\tilde{M}(y,t,k)\Big)_{11}}{ik} \tag{3.21b}$$

$$u(y,t) = \lim_{k\to 0} \frac{\Big((\tilde{M}(y,t,0))^{-1}\tilde{M}(y,t,k)\Big)_{21}}{ik} \tag{3.21c}$$

Proof. Since the jump matrix $\tilde{J}(y,t,k)$ is a Hermitian matrix, then the Riemann-Hilbert problem of $\tilde{M}(y,t,k)$ indeed has a solution. Furthermore, the Riemann-Hilbert problem has only one solution because of the normalize condition.

The statements of the solution $u(x,t)$ is following from the asymptotic formula (3.15).

□

4. Long-time Asymptotics: fast decaying region $\xi = \frac{x}{t} > \varepsilon > 0$, Proof of theorem 1.2

In this section, we employ the nonlinear steepest descent method introduced by Deift and Zhou [14] to analyze the long-time asymptotic behavior of the solution $u(x,t)$ to the initial value problem (1.1a)-(1.1b).

The key feature of the method is the deformation of the original Riemann-Hilbert problem according to the signature table for the phase function θ in jump matrix $\tilde{J}$ written in the form

$$\tilde{J}(y,t,k) = e^{it\theta(\tilde{\xi},k)\hat{\sigma}_3} J_0(k), \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\theta(\tilde{\xi},k) = \tilde{\xi}k - \frac{1}{4k}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\tilde{\xi} = \frac{y}{t}. \tag{4.3}$$

The signature table is the distribution of signs of $\mathrm{Im}\theta(\tilde{\xi},k)$ in the $k-$plane,

$$\mathrm{Im}\theta(\tilde{\xi},k) = k_2[\tilde{\xi} + \frac{1}{4(k_1^2+k_2^2)}], \tag{4.4}$$

where k_1 and k_2 are the real and image part of k, respectively, i.e. $k = k_1 + ik_2$.

Under the condition $\tilde{\xi} > \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then we have $\mathrm{Im}\theta(\tilde{\xi},k) > 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}\theta(\tilde{\xi},k) < 0$, as $k_2 = \mathrm{Im}k > 0$ and $k_2 = \mathrm{Im}k < 0$, respectively, see figure 1.



Figure 1. The signs of $\mathrm{Re}i\theta$ in the $k-$plane in the case $\tilde{\xi} > 0$.

This suggests the use of the following factorization of the jump matrix for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\tilde{J}(y,t,k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \overline{r(k)}e^{-2it\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(k)e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.5}$$



FIGURE 2. The contour $\tilde{\Sigma}$ in the $k-$plane as $\tilde{\xi} > 0$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that the function $\overline{r(k)}e^{-2it\theta}$ of the left triangular factor of (4.5) extends analytically to the region $\mathrm{Im}k < 0$ and continuous in the closure of the region. And the function $r(k)e^{2it\theta}$ of the right factor extends the region $\mathrm{Im}k > 0$ by taking conjugate. If the analytic conditions are dropped, then there is a similar procedure to obtain the "weak" analytic conditions. To show this, we write $\overline{r(k)}$ as a Fourier transform with respect to θ,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{r(k)}e^{-2it\theta} &= \tfrac{e^{-2it\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(k-i)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{is\theta(k)}\hat{g}(s)ds \\ &= \tfrac{e^{-2it\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(k-i)^2} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{is\theta(k)}\hat{g}(s)ds + \tfrac{e^{-2it\theta}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(k-i)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{is\theta(k)}\hat{g}(s)ds \\ &= e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_I(k) + e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k), \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(s) &= \tfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-is\theta(k)}g(\theta)d\theta, \\ g(\theta) &= \overline{r(k(\theta))}(k(\theta)-i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here $e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)$ has an analytic continuation to the lower half-plane and decays exponentially in $L^1 \cap L^\infty(\Sigma \cap \{k|\mathrm{Im}k < 0\})$, as $t \to \infty$, while $e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_I(k)$ decays rapidly in $L^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, as $t \to \infty$.

Introducing the following the following transformation:

$$\tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)=\begin{cases}\tilde{M}(y,t,k)\begin{pmatrix}1 & -\overline{h_{II}(\bar{k})}e^{2it\theta}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\Omega_1\cup\Omega_3,\\ \tilde{M}(y,t,k)\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ h_{II}(k)e^{-2it\theta} & 1\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\Omega_4\cup\Omega_6,\\ \tilde{M}(y,t,k), & k\in\Omega_2\cup\Omega_5,\end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

where $\Omega_j, j=1,2,\ldots,6$ are shown in figure 2. We obtain the new Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)$,

$$\begin{cases}\tilde{M}^{(1)}_+(y,t,k)=\tilde{M}^{(1)}_-(y,t,k)\tilde{J}^{(1)}(y,t,k), & k\in\tilde{\Sigma},\\ \tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)\to\mathbb{I}, & k\to\infty.\end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\tilde{J}^{(1)}(y,t,k)=\begin{cases}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \overline{h_{II}(\bar{k})}e^{2it\theta}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\tilde{\Sigma}\cap D_1,\\ \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ h_{II}(k)e^{-2it\theta} & 1\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\tilde{\Sigma}\cap D_2,\\ \begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ h_I(k)e^{-2it\theta} & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \overline{h_I(\bar{k})}e^{2it\theta}\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\mathbb{R},\end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

Theorem 4.1. *As $t\to\infty$, the solution $u(x,t)$ of the initial value problem (1.1a)-(1.1b) decays fast in the range $\xi>\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon>0$.*

Proof. The above transformation reduces the Riemann-Hilbert problem of $\tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)$ to that with exponentially decaying in t to the identity matrix jump matrix. Since this Riemann-Hilbert problem is holomorphic, its solution decays fast to $\mathbb{I}$ and consequently $\tilde{u}(y,t)$ decays fast to 0 while y approaches fast x and thus the domain $\tilde{\xi}>\varepsilon$ and $\xi>\varepsilon$ coincide asymptotically. □

5. Long-time Asymptotics: oscillation region $\xi < -\varepsilon < 0$, Proof of theorem 1.3

If $\tilde{\xi} < -\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, let k_0 be defined by

$$k_0 = \sqrt{\frac{-1}{4\tilde{\xi}}}, \tag{5.1}$$

then the signature table is shown as figure 3,



Figure 3. The signs of Re$i\theta$ in the $k-$plane in the case $\tilde{\xi} < 0$.

This suggests the use of the following factorizations of the jump matrix $\tilde{J}(y,t,k)$:

$$\tilde{J}(y,t,k) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \overline{r(k)}e^{-2it\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(k)e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & |k| < k_0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{r(k)}{1+|r(k)|^2}e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{1+|r(k)|^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1+|r(k)|^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\overline{r(k)}}{1+|r(k)|^2}e^{-2it\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & |k| > k_0. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

Then we need make some appropriate sequence of deformations of the Riemann-Hilbert problem.

5.1. **The conjugate transformation.** The aim of the first transformation involves the removal of the diagonal factor in (5.2) for $|k| > k_0$.

Introducing a scalar function $\delta(k)$ which satisfies the following scalar Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$\begin{cases} \delta_+(k) &= \delta_-(k)(1+|r(k)|^2), & |k|>k_0, \\ &= \delta_-(k)=\delta(k), & |k|<k_0. \\ \delta(k) &\to 1 & k\to\infty. \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

Then the function $\delta(k)$ is given by

$$\delta(k)=e^{\frac{1}{2\pi i}(\int_{-\infty}^{-k_0}+\int_{k_0}^{+\infty})\frac{\ln(1+|r(s)|^2)}{s-k}ds}. \tag{5.4}$$

The conjugate transformation

$$\tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)=\tilde{M}(y,t,k)\delta(k)^{\sigma_3}, \tag{5.5}$$

yields the Riemann-Hilbert for $\tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)$

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{M}^{(1)}_+(y,t,k)=\tilde{M}^{(1)}_-(y,t,k)\tilde{J}^{(1)}(y,t,k), \quad k\in\mathbb{R}, \\ \tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)\to\mathbb{I}, \quad k\to\infty, \end{cases} \tag{5.6a}$$

where

$$\tilde{J}^{(1)}(y,t,k)=\begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \overline{r(k)}\delta^2 e^{-2it\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(k)\delta^{-2}e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & |k|<k_0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{r(k)}{1+|r(k)|^2}\delta_-^{-2}e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\overline{r(k)}}{1+|r(k)|^2}\delta_+^2 e^{-2it\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & |k|>k_0. \end{cases} \tag{5.6b}$$

Now, let us come back to the solution $u(x,t)$. From (5.4) it follows that

$$\delta(k)=\delta_0+k\delta_1+O(k^2)=1-\frac{ik}{\pi}\int_{k_0}^{\infty}\frac{\ln(1+|r(s)|^2)}{s^2}ds+O(k^2), \tag{5.7}$$

If we write

$$\tilde{M}(y,t,k)=\tilde{M}_0(y,t)+k\tilde{M}_1(y,t)+O(k^2), \quad k\to 0, \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)=\tilde{M}^{(1)}_0(y,t)+k\tilde{M}^{(1)}_1(y,t)+O(k^2), \quad k\to 0, \tag{5.9}$$

then from the transformation (5.5) we obtain

$$\tilde{M}_0(y,t)=\tilde{M}^{(1)}_0(y,t), \quad \tilde{M}_1(y,t)=\tilde{M}^{(1)}_1(y,t)-\tilde{M}^{(1)}_0(y,t)\delta_1\sigma_3, \tag{5.10}$$

Hence, we have

$$u(x,t) = -i\left[(\tilde{M}_0^{(1)})^{-1}\tilde{M}_1^{(1)}\right]_{21}, \tag{5.11a}$$

$$c_+ = -i\left(\left[(\tilde{M}_0^{(1)})^{-1}\tilde{M}_1^{(1)}\right]_{11} - \delta_1\right) \tag{5.11b}$$

5.2. **Analytic extension.** For the convenience of the notation, we transverse the direction of the component $|k| < k_0$ of the jump contour $\mathbb{R}$ for the Riemann-Hilbert problem of $\tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)$. Then, the jump matrix $\tilde{J}^{(1)}(y,t,k)$ becomes

$$\tilde{J}^{(1)}(y,t,k) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -r(k)\delta^{-2}e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\overline{r(k)}\delta^2 e^{-2it\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & |k| < k_0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{r(k)}{1+|r(k)|^2}\delta_-^{-2}e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\overline{r(k)}}{1+|r(k)|^2}\delta_+^2 e^{-2it\theta} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & |k| > k_0. \end{cases} \tag{5.12}$$

Denote contours:

$$L_0 = \{k|k = k_0\lambda e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}, 0 \le k \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\} \cup \{k|k = k_0\lambda e^{i\frac{3\pi}{4}}, 0 \le k \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}, \tag{5.13a}$$

$$L_1 = \{k|k = k_0 + k_0\lambda e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}, -\infty < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}, \quad L_{1\varepsilon} = \{k|k = k_0 + k_0\lambda e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}, \varepsilon < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\} \tag{5.13b}$$

$$L_2 = \{k|k = -k_0 + k_0\lambda e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}, -\infty < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}, \quad L_{2\varepsilon} = \{k|k = -k_0 + k_0\lambda e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}, \varepsilon < \lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\}. \tag{5.13c}$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let*

$$\rho(k) = \begin{cases} -\overline{r(k)}, & |k| < k_0, \\ \frac{\overline{r(k)}}{1+|r(k)|^2}, & |k| > k_0. \end{cases} \tag{5.14}$$

Then $\rho(k)$ has a decomposition

$$\rho(k) = h_I(k) + (h_{II}(k) + R(k)), \tag{5.15}$$

where $h_I(k)$ is small and $h_{II}(k)$ has an analytic continuation to L and L_0. For example, if $k > k_0$, $h_{II}(k)$ of the function $\rho(k)$ has an analytic continuation to the $L_1 \cap \mathrm{Im}k > 0$. And $R(k)$ is piecewise rational ($R(k) = 0$, if $k \in L_0$) function.

And let M is a positive constant, as $k_0 < M$, $R(k), h_I(k), h_{II}(k)$ satisfy

$$|e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_I(k)| \leq \frac{c}{(1+|k|^2)t^l}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{5.16a}$$

$$|e^{-2it\theta(k)}\frac{h_I(k)}{k^j}| \leq \frac{c}{(1+|k|^2)t^l}, \quad 0 < |k| < \frac{|k_0|}{2}, j = 1, 2, \tag{5.16b}$$

$$|e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)| \leq \frac{c}{(1+|k|^2)t^l}, \quad k \in L = \text{Ł}_1 \cup L_2, \tag{5.16c}$$

$$|e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)| \leq ce^{-t\frac{1}{8k_0}}, \quad k \in L_0, \tag{5.16d}$$

$$|e^{-2it\theta(k)}\frac{h_{II}(k)}{k^j}| \leq ce^{-t\frac{1}{8k_0}}, \quad k \in L_0, j = 1, 2, \tag{5.16e}$$

and

$$|e^{-2it\theta(k)}R(k)| \leq ce^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2k_0}t}, \quad k \in L_\varepsilon = L_{1\varepsilon} \cup L_{2\varepsilon}. \tag{5.16f}$$

for arbitrary natural number l, for sufficiently large constants c, for some fixed positive constant M.

Proof. See the appendix A. □

5.3. **Second Transformation.** The main purpose of this subsection is to reformulate the Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)$ (5.6) as an equivalent Riemann- Hilbert problem on the augmented contour $\Sigma = L \cup \bar{L} \cup L_0 \cup \bar{L}_0 \cup \mathbb{R}$ (see figure 5).

According to the above analytic extension of $\rho(k)$, we can write the jump matrix $\tilde{J}^{(1)}(y,t,k)$ as

$$\tilde{J}^{(1)}(y,t,k) = b_-^{-1}(y,t,k)b_+(y,t,k), \tag{5.17a}$$

where

$$b_-(y,t,k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\overline{h_I(\bar{k})}\delta_-^{-2}e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\overline{h_{II}+R}(\bar{k})\delta_-^{-2}e^{2it\theta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = b_-^R(y,t,k)b_-^I(y,t,k), \tag{5.17b}$$

and

$$b_-(y,t,k)=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ h_I(k)\delta_+^2e^{-2it\theta} & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ (h_{II}+R)(k)\delta_+^2e^{-2it\theta} & 1\end{pmatrix}=b_+^R(y,t,k)b_+^I(y,t,k), \tag{5.17c}$$

We make a transformation as

$$\tilde{M}^{(2)}(y,t,k)=\tilde{M}^{(1)}(y,t,k)T(y,t,k), \tag{5.18}$$

where

$$T(y,t,k)=\begin{cases}(b_+^I(y,t,k))^{-1}, & k\in\Omega_1\cup\Omega_3\cup\Omega_9\cup\Omega_{10},\\ (b_-^I(y,t,k))^{-1}, & k\in\Omega_4\cup\Omega_6\cup\Omega_7\cup\Omega_8,\\ \mathbb{I}, & k\in\Omega_2\cup\Omega_5.\end{cases} \tag{5.19}$$

with the regions $\{\Omega_j\}_{j=1}^{10}$ defined as figure 5.



FIGURE 4. The jump contour Σ for $\tilde{M}^{(2)}(y,t,k)$.

Then, $\tilde{M}^{(2)}(y,t,k)$ satisfies the following Riemann-Hilbert problem,

$$\begin{cases}\tilde{M}_+^{(2)}(y,t,k)=\tilde{M}_-^{(2)}(y,t,k)\tilde{J}^{(2)}(y,t,k),\\ \tilde{M}^{(2)}(y,t,k)\to\mathbb{I},\quad k\to\infty.\end{cases} \tag{5.20a}$$

where

$$\tilde{J}^{(2)}(y,t,k)=(b_-^{(2)}(y,t,k))^{-1}b_+^{(2)}(y,t,k)=\begin{cases}(b_-^R(y,t,k))^{-1}b_+^R(y,t,k), & k\in\mathbb{R},\\ b_+^I(y,t,k), & k\in\Sigma\cap\mathrm{Im}k>0,\\ (b_-^I(y,t,k))^{-1}, & k\in\Sigma\cap\mathrm{Im}k<0.\end{cases} \tag{5.20b}$$

Proposition 5.2. *The reflection coefficient $r(k)=O(k^3)$ as $k\to 0$.*

Proof. A direct calculation following from (2.28) and from the identity $|r(k)|^2=\frac{1}{|a(k)|^2}-1$. □

Now, let us come back to the considered problem in this paper again. The solution $u(y,t)$ is related to the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem evaluated at $k=0$, it may be affected by this transformation. However, due to the above fact, the second transformation turns out not to affect the terms in the expansion of the solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem at $k=0$ at least up to the terms of order $O(k^2)$ and thus it does not really affect $u(y,t)$.

So, if we write

$$\tilde{M}^{(2)}(y,t,k)=\tilde{M}_0^{(2)}(y,t)+k\tilde{M}_1^{(2)}(y,t)+O(k^2),\quad k\to 0, \tag{5.21}$$

then we have

$$u(x,t)=-i\left[(\tilde{M}_0^{(2)})^{-1}\tilde{M}_1^{(2)}\right]_{21}, \tag{5.22a}$$

$$c_+=-i\left(\left[(\tilde{M}_0^{(2)})^{-1}\tilde{M}_1^{(2)}\right]_{11}-\delta_1\right) \tag{5.22b}$$

Set

$$\omega_\pm^{(2)}(y,t,k)=\pm(b_\pm^{(2)}(y,t,k)-\mathbb{I}),\quad \omega=\omega_+^{(2)}+\omega_-^{(2)}, \tag{5.23}$$

and let $\mu^{(2)}(y,t,k)$ be the solution of the singular integral equation $\mu^{(2)}=\mathbb{I}+C_\omega\mu^{(2)}$, here C_ω is defined as (3.5), then

$$\tilde{M}^{(2)}(y,t,k)=\mathbb{I}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma\frac{\mu^{(2)}(y,t,\eta)\omega(y,t,\eta)}{\eta-k}d\eta,\quad k\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma \tag{5.24}$$

is the solution of Riemann-Hilbert problem (5.20).

Expanding the integral (5.24) around $k=0$, we have

$$\tilde{M}_0^{(2)}(y,t)=\mathbb{I}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma\frac{\mu^{(2)}(y,t,\eta)\omega(y,t,\eta)}{\eta}d\eta, \tag{5.25a}$$

$$\tilde{M}_1^{(2)}(y,t)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Sigma\frac{\mu^{(2)}(y,t,\eta)\omega(y,t,\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta \tag{5.25b}$$

Remark 5.3. *Since, $\omega(y,t,k)$ decays rapidly at 0, the integral (5.25) are nonsingular.*

FIGURE 5. The jump contour $\Sigma^{(3)}$ for $\tilde{M}^{(3)}(y,t,k)$.

5.4. **Reduction to the cross.** Let ω^e be a sum of four terms

$$\omega^e = \omega^a + \omega^b + \omega^c + \omega^d. \tag{5.26}$$

We then have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega^a = \omega \text{ is supported on the } \mathbb{R} \text{ and consists of terms of type } h_I(k) \text{ and } \overline{h_I(k)}.\\
&\omega^b = \omega \text{ is supported on the } L \cup \bar{L} \text{ and consists of terms of type } h_{II}(k) \text{ and } \overline{h_{II}(\bar{k})}.\\
&\omega^c = \omega \text{ is supported on the } L_\varepsilon \cup \bar{L}_\varepsilon \text{ and consists of terms of type } R(k) \text{ and } \overline{R(\bar{k})}.\\
&\omega^d = \omega \text{ is supported on the } L_0 \cup \bar{L}_0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

Set $\omega' = \omega - \omega^e$. Then, $\omega' = 0$ on $\Sigma^{(2)} \backslash \Sigma^{(3)}$. Thus, ω' is supported on $\Sigma^{(3)}$ with contribution to ω from rational terms R and $\bar{R}$.

Proposition 5.4. *For $0 < k_0 < M$, we have*

$$||\omega^a||_{L^1\cap L^2\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{c}{t^l}, \tag{5.28a}$$

$$||\frac{\omega^a}{k^j}||_{L^1\cap L^2\cap L^\infty(|k|<k_0)} \leq \frac{c}{t^l}, j = 1,2 \tag{5.28b}$$

$$||\omega^b||_{L^1(L\cup\bar{L})\cap L^2(L\cup\bar{L})\cap L^\infty(L\cup\bar{L})} \leq \frac{c}{t^l}, \tag{5.28c}$$

$$||\omega^c||_{L^1(L_\varepsilon\cup\bar{L}_\varepsilon)\cap L^2(L_\varepsilon\cup\bar{L}_\varepsilon)\cap L^\infty(L_\varepsilon\cup\bar{L}_\varepsilon)} \leq ce^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2k_0}t}, \tag{5.28d}$$

$$||\omega^d||_{L^1(L_0\cup\bar{L}_0)\cap L^2(L_0\cup\bar{L}_0)\cap L^\infty(L_0\cup\bar{L}_0)} \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{8k_0}}, \tag{5.28e}$$

$$||\frac{\omega^d}{k^j}||_{L^1(L_0\cup\bar{L}_0)\cap L^2(L_0\cup\bar{L}_0)\cap L^\infty(L_0\cup\bar{L}_0)} \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{8k_0}}, j = 1,2 \tag{5.28f}$$

Moreover,

$$||\omega'||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})} \leq \frac{c}{t^{\frac{1}{4}}}, \qquad ||\omega'||_{L^1(\Sigma^{(3)})} \leq \frac{c}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{5.29}$$

Proof. Consequence of proposition 5.1, and analogous calculations as in lemma 2.13 of [14]. □

Proposition 5.5. *As $t \to \infty$ and $0 < k_0 < M$, $||(1 - C_{\omega'})^{-1}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})}$ exists and is uniformly bounded, and $||(1-C_\omega)^{-1}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})} \leq C$ is equivalent to $||(1 - C_{\omega'})^{-1}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})} \leq C$.*

Proof. The existence of the operator $(1 - C_{\omega'})^{-1}$ is followed similar to [14] P.324. And the equivalence is the consequence of the following inequality, $||C_\omega - C_{\omega'}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})} \leq c||\omega^e||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})}$, the fact that $||\omega^e||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})} \leq \frac{c}{t^l}$, and the second resolvent identity. □

Proposition 5.6. *If $||(1-C_{\omega'})^{-1}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})} \leq C$, then for arbitrary positive integer l, as $t \to \infty$ such that $0 < k_0 < M$,*

$$\int_\Sigma \frac{((\mathbb{I} - C_\omega)^{-1}\mathbb{I})(\eta)\omega(x,t,\eta)}{\eta^j}d\eta = \int_\Sigma \frac{((\mathbb{I} - C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I})(\eta)\omega'(x,t,\eta)}{\eta^j}d\eta + O(\frac{c}{t^l}), j = 1, 2. \tag{5.30}$$

Proof. From the second resolvent identity, one can derive the following expression (see equation (2.27) in [14]),

$$\begin{aligned}
\textstyle\int_\Sigma \frac{((1-C_\omega)^{-1}\mathbb{I})\omega}{\eta^j}d\eta &= \textstyle\int_\Sigma \frac{((1-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I})\omega'}{\eta^j}d\eta + \int_\Sigma \frac{\omega^e}{\eta^j}d\eta \\
&\quad + \textstyle\int_\Sigma \frac{((1-C_{\omega'})^{-1}(C_{\omega^e}\mathbb{I}))\omega}{\eta^j}d\eta \\
&\quad + \textstyle\int_\Sigma \frac{((1-C_{\omega'})^{-1}(C_{\omega'}\mathbb{I}))\omega^e}{\eta^j}d\eta \\
&\quad + \textstyle\int_\Sigma \frac{((1-C_{\omega'})^{-1}C_{\omega^e}(1-C_\omega)^{-1})(C_\omega\mathbb{I})\omega}{\eta^j}d\eta \\
&= \textstyle\int_\Sigma \frac{((1-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I})\omega'}{\eta^j}d\eta + I + II + III + IV.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

For $0 < k_0 < M$, from Proposition (5.4) it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}
|I| &\leq ||\tfrac{\omega^a}{k^j}||_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + ||\tfrac{\omega^b}{k^j}||_{L^1(L\cup\bar{L})} + ||\tfrac{\omega^c}{k^j}||_{L^1(L_\varepsilon\cup\bar{L}_\varepsilon)} + ||\tfrac{\omega^d}{k^j}||_{L^1(L_0\cup\bar{L}_0)} \\
&\leq ct^{-l},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|II| &\leq ||(1 - C_{\omega'})^{-1}||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||(C_{\omega^e}\mathbb{I})||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||\tfrac{\omega}{k^j}||_{L^2(\Sigma)} \\
&\leq c||\omega^e||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})}(||\omega^e||_{L^2(\Sigma)} + ||\omega'||_{L^2(\Sigma)}) \\
&\leq ct^{-l}(ct^{-l} + c) \leq ct^{-l},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|III| &\leq ||(1 - C_{\omega'})^{-1}||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||(C_{\omega'}\mathbb{I})||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||\tfrac{\omega^e}{k^j}||_{L^2(\Sigma)} \\
&\leq ct^{-l}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |IV| &\leq ||(1-C_{\omega'})^{-1}C_{\omega^e}(1-C_\omega)^{-1})(C_\omega \mathbb{I})||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||\tfrac{\omega}{k^j}||_{L^2(\Sigma)} \\ &\leq ||(1-C_{\omega'})^{-1}||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||C_{\omega^e}||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||(1-C_\omega)^{-1}||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||(C_\omega \mathbb{I})||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||\tfrac{\omega}{k^j}||_{L^2(\Sigma)} \\ &\leq c||C_{\omega^e}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})}||(C_\omega \mathbb{I})||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||\tfrac{\omega}{k^j}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(2)})} \\ &\leq c||\omega^e||_{L^2(\Sigma)}||\tfrac{\omega}{k^j}||^2_{L^2(\Sigma)} \\ &\leq ct^{-l}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

Hence,

$$|I + II + III + IV| \leq ct^{-l}. \tag{5.36}$$

Applying these estimates to equation (5.31), we can obtain equation (5.30). □

Following the method in appendix B, we apply the lemma B.1 to the case $u = \omega'$, $\Sigma_{12} = \Sigma$ and $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma^{(3)}$. From identity (B.11), we get the following proposition, which shows that the integral region can be changed from Σ to $\Sigma^{(3)}$ without alternating the Riemann-Hilbert problem.

Proposition 5.7.

$$\int_\Sigma \frac{((\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I})(\eta)\omega'(x,t,\eta)}{\eta^j}d\eta = \int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \frac{((\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I})(\eta)\omega'(x,t,\eta)}{\eta^j}d\eta. \tag{5.37}$$

Set

$$L' = L \backslash L_\varepsilon$$

. Then, $\Sigma^{(3)} = L' \cup \bar{L}'$. On $\Sigma^{(3)}$, set $\mu' = (1^{\Sigma^{(3)}} - C_{\omega'}^{\Sigma^{(3)}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}$. Then,

$$M^{(3)}(x,t,k) = \mathbb{I} + \int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \frac{\mu'(\xi)\omega'(\xi)}{\xi - k}\frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} \tag{5.38}$$

solves the Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$\begin{cases} M_+^{(3)}(x,t,k) = M_-^{(3)}(x,t,k)J^{(3)}(x,t,k), & k \in \Sigma^{(3)}, \\ M^{(3)} \to \mathbb{I}, & k \to \infty. \end{cases} \tag{5.39}$$

where

$$\omega' = \omega'_+ + \omega'_-, \tag{5.40}$$

$$b'_\pm = \mathbb{I} \pm \omega'_\pm, \tag{5.41}$$

$$J^{(3)}(x,t,k) = (b'_-)^{-1} b'_+ \tag{5.42}$$

Hence, we have the representation of the solution is as follows,

Theorem 5.8. *As $t \to \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned} iu(y,t) &= \left(1 + \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega'(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{11} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega'(\eta)}{\eta^2} d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{21} \\ &\quad - \left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega'(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{21} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega'(\eta)}{\eta^2} d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{11}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.43a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ic_+(y,t) &= \left(1 + \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega'(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{22} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega'(\eta)}{\eta^2} d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{11} \\ &\quad - \left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega'(\eta)}{\eta} d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{12} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega'(\eta)}{\eta^2} d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{21} - \delta_1. \end{aligned} \tag{5.43b}$$

5.5. **Separate out the contributions of the two crosses.** Using the estimates of the proposition 5.4 and the similar method in [14] P.330-331, we can separate out the contributions of the two crosses in $\Sigma^{(3)}$ to the solution $u(y,t)$ in formula (5.43a). Let the contour $\Sigma^{(3)} = \Sigma^{A'} \cup \Sigma^{B'}$ and write

$$\omega' = \omega^{A'} + \omega^{B'}, \tag{5.44}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega^{A'}(k) = 0, \quad \text{for } k \in \Sigma_{B'}, \\ &\omega^{B'}(k) = 0, \quad \text{for } k \in \Sigma_{A'}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

Proposition 5.9.

$$\begin{aligned} &||C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}} C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})} = ||C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}} C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})} \le \tfrac{C(k_0)}{\sqrt{t}}, \\ &||C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}} C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}}||_{L^\infty \to L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})}, \quad ||C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}} C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}}||_{L^\infty \to L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})} \le \tfrac{C(k_0)}{t^{3/4}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.46}$$

Proof. Since

$$\omega_+^{B'}(\eta)\omega_+^{A'}(\xi)=0,\quad \omega_-^{B'}(\eta)\omega_-^{A'}(\xi)=0,\quad \text{for } \eta,\xi\in\Sigma^{(3)}, \tag{5.47a}$$

and

$$C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}}C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}}\phi=C_+\left((C_-\phi\omega_+^{B'})\omega_-^{A'}\right)+C_-\left((C_+\phi\omega_-^{B'})\omega_+^{A'}\right) \tag{5.47b}$$

Here we estimate the first term and the second term is similar.

Since C_- is bounded in $L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})$, and the proposition 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&||C_+\left((C_-\phi\omega_+^{B'})\omega_-^{A'}\right)(k)||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})}\\
&=||\int_{\Sigma^{A'}}\left(\int_{\Sigma^{B'}}\phi(\xi)\omega_+^{B'}(\xi)\tfrac{d\xi}{(\xi-\eta)_-}\right)\omega_-^{A'}(\eta)\tfrac{d\eta}{(\eta-k)_+}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})}\\
&\le c||\omega_-^{A'}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{A'})}\sup_{\eta\in\Sigma^{A'}}|\int_{\Sigma^{B'}}\phi(\xi)\omega_+^{B'}(\xi)\tfrac{d\xi}{\xi-\eta}|\\
&\le \tfrac{c}{k_0}||\omega_-^{A'}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{A'})}||\omega_+^{B'}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{B'})}||\phi||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})}\\
&\le C(k_0)t^{-1/2}||\phi||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})},
\end{aligned}\tag{5.48}$$

where

$$\operatorname{dist}(\Sigma^{A'},\Sigma^{B'})>k_0. \tag{5.49}$$

Thus, we have

$$||C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}}C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})}\le\frac{C(k_0)}{\sqrt{t}}. \tag{5.50}$$

On the other hand.

$$\begin{aligned}
&||C_+\left((C_-\phi\omega_+^{B'})\omega_-^{A'}\right)(k)||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})}\\
&=||\int_{\Sigma^{A'}}\left(\int_{\Sigma^{B'}}\phi(\xi)\omega_+^{B'}(\xi)\tfrac{d\xi}{(\xi-\eta)_-}\right)\omega_-^{A'}(\eta)\tfrac{d\eta}{(\eta-k)_+}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})}\\
&\le c||\omega_-^{A'}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{A'})}\sup_{\eta\in\Sigma^{A'}}|\int_{\Sigma^{B'}}\phi(\xi)\omega_+^{B'}(\xi)\tfrac{d\xi}{\xi-\eta}|\\
&\le \tfrac{c}{k_0}||\omega_-^{A'}||_{L^2(\Sigma^{A'})}||\omega_-^{B'}||_{L^1(\Sigma^{B'})}||\phi||_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{(3)})}\\
&\le C(k_0)t^{-1/2}t^{-1/4}||\phi||_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{(3)})},
\end{aligned}\tag{5.51}$$

Thus, we have

$$||C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}}C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}}||_{L^\infty\to L^2(\Sigma^{(3)})}\le\frac{C(k_0)}{t^{3/4}}. \tag{5.52}$$

□

Using the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\mathbb{I}-C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}}-C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}}\right)\left(\mathbb{I}+C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}}(\mathbb{I}-C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}+C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}}(\mathbb{I}-C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}})^{-1}\right)\\
&=\mathbb{I}-C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}}C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}}(\mathbb{I}-C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}-C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{A'}}C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}}(\mathbb{I}-C^{\Sigma^{(3)}}_{\omega^{B'}})^{-1}
\end{aligned}\tag{5.53}$$

and proposition 5.4, we show that as $t \to \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(3)}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega'})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega'(\eta)}{\eta^j}d\eta \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta^j}d\eta \\
&+\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta^j}d\eta + O(\tfrac{C(k_0)}{t}), \quad j=1,2,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.54}$$

where $C(k_0)$ is a constant dependent on k_0.

Then, using the lemma B.1, we obtain

Proposition 5.10. *As $t \to \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
iu(y,t) \;=\; &\left(1+\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta + \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{B'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{B'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{B'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{11} \\
&\cdot\left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta + \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{B'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{B'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{B'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{21} \\
&-\left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta + \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{B'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{B'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{B'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{21} \\
&\cdot\left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta + \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{B'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{B'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{B'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{11},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.55a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
ic_+(y,t) \;=\; &\left(1+\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta + \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{B'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{B'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{B'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{22} \\
&\cdot\left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta + \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{B'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{B'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{B'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{11} \\
&-\left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta + \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{B'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{B'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{B'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{12} \\
&\cdot\left(\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta + \tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{B'}} \tfrac{(\mathbb{I}-C_{\omega^{B'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{B'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta + O(t^{-l})\right)_{21} \\
&-\delta_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.55b}$$

5.6. **The scaling transformation.** In order to reduce the Riemann-Hilbert problem for $\tilde{M}^{(3)}(y,t,k)$, as $t \to \infty$, to a model Riemann-Hilbert problem whose solution can be given explicitly in terms of parabolic cylinder functions, see [14], the leading term of the factor $\delta(k)e^{-it\theta(k)}$ as $k \to \pm k_0$ is to be evaluated.

First, we extend the crosses $\Sigma^{A'}$ and $\Sigma^{B'}$ to contours $\hat{\Sigma}^{A'}$ and $\hat{\Sigma}^{B'}$ by zero extension. Thus, the corresponding functions $\hat{\omega}^{A'}$ and $\hat{\omega}^{B'}$ are well-defined by zero extension of the functions $\omega^{A'}$ and $\omega^{B'}$, too. Then, we denote Σ^A and Σ^B as the contour $\{k = k_0\lambda e^{\pm\frac{\pi i}{4}}, -\infty < \lambda < \infty\}$ oriented as $\hat{\Sigma}^{A'}$ and $\hat{\Sigma}^{B'}$, respectively.

For k near k_0,

$$\delta(k) = \left(\frac{k-k_0}{k+k_0}\right)^{-i\nu(k_0)} e^{\chi(k)}, \tag{5.56}$$

where

$$\nu(k_0) = \nu = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln(1+|r(k_0)|^2), \tag{5.57}$$

$$\chi(k) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{-k_0} + \int_{k_0}^{+\infty}\right)\ln|k-s| d\ln(1+|r(s)|^2). \tag{5.58}$$

And

$$\theta(k) = -\frac{1}{2k_0} - \frac{1}{4k_0^3}(k-k_0)^2 + \frac{1}{4\eta^4}(k-k_0)^3, \quad \eta \text{ lies between } k_0 \text{ and } k. \tag{5.59}$$

Then, introducing the scaling operator by

$$(N_A f)(k) = f(k_0 + \frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}), \tag{5.60}$$

the factor $\delta(k)e^{-it\theta(k)}$ can be scaled as

$$(N_A \delta e^{-it\theta})(k) = \delta_A^0 \delta_A^1, \tag{5.61}$$

where

$$\delta_A^0 = (\frac{4t}{k_0})^{\frac{i\nu(k_0)}{2}} e^{\chi(k_0)} e^{\frac{it}{2k_0}}, \tag{5.62a}$$

$$\delta_A^1 = k^{-i\nu(k_0)} e^{\frac{ik^2}{4}} \left(\frac{2k_0}{2k_0 + \frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^3 t}}}\right)^{-i\nu(k_0)} e^{\chi(k_0+\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}})-\chi(k_0)} e^{-\frac{ik^3}{4\eta^4 k_0^{-9/2} t^{1/2}}}. \tag{5.62b}$$

Here $k^{-i\nu(k_0)}$ is cut along $(0,\infty)$.

Form the definition of $\chi(k)$, we know that $\chi(k_0)$ is purely imaginary, thus $|\delta_A^0| = 1$. Define

$$\Delta_A^0 = (\delta_A^0)^{-\sigma_3}, \quad \tilde{\Delta}_A^0 \phi = \phi \Delta_A^0 \tag{5.63}$$

We have

$$C_{\hat{\omega}^{A'}} = N_A^{-1}(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}A\tilde{\Delta}_A^0 N_A, \tag{5.64}$$

where the operator $A : L^2(\Sigma^A) \to L^2(\Sigma^A)$ is given by

$$A\phi = C_{(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}^{A'})\Delta_A^0}\phi = C_+(\phi(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}_-^{A'})\Delta_A^0)+C_-(\phi(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}_+^{A'})\Delta_A^0) \tag{5.65}$$

On the part $\{k = k_0\lambda e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}, -\varepsilon < \lambda < \varepsilon\}$ of Σ^A,

$$(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}_+^{A'})\Delta_A^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ R(k_0 + \frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}})(\delta_A^1)^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.66}$$

otherwise, $(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}_+^{A'})\Delta_A^0 = 0$.

Similarly, on the part $\{k = k_0\lambda e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}, -\varepsilon < \lambda < \varepsilon\}$ of Σ^A,

$$(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}_-^{A'})\Delta_A^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\overline{R}(k_0 + \frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}})(\delta_A^1)^{-2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.67}$$

otherwise, $(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}_-^{A'})\Delta_A^0 = 0$.

By the definition of $R(k)$, we have

$$R(k_0+) = \lim_{\mathrm{Re}k>k_0} R(k) = \frac{\overline{r(k_0)}}{1+|r(k_0)|^2}, \tag{5.68}$$

and

$$R(k_0-) = \lim_{\mathrm{Re}k<k_0} R(k) = -\overline{r(k_0)}. \tag{5.69}$$

Proposition 5.11. *As $t \to \infty$, let β be a fixed small number, $0 < 2\beta < 1$, then for $k \in \{k = k_0\lambda e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}, -\varepsilon < \lambda < \varepsilon\}$,*

$$||R(k_0+\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}})(\delta_A^1)^2 - R(k_0\pm)k^{-2i\nu(k_0)}e^{\frac{ik^2}{2}}||_{L^1\cap L^\infty} \le C(k_0)|e^{\frac{i\beta^2k^2}{2}}|\left(\frac{\ln(t)}{\sqrt{t}}\right). \tag{5.70}$$

Proof. See the appendix C. □

Now, let us calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}}\frac{((\mathbb{I}_{A'}-C_{\omega^{A'}}^{\Sigma^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I})(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\hat{\Sigma}^{A'}}\frac{((\mathbb{I}_{\hat{A}'}-C_{\hat{\omega}^{A'}}^{\hat{\Sigma}^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I})(\eta)\hat{\omega}^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\hat{\Sigma}^{A'}}\frac{(N_A^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta}_A^0)^{-1}(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\tilde{\Delta}_A^0N_A\mathbb{I})(\eta)\hat{\omega}^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\hat{\Sigma}^{A'}}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\Delta_A^0((\eta-k_0)\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t})(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}^{A'})((\eta-k_0)\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t})}{\eta}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\int_{\Sigma^A}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\Delta_A^0(\eta)(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}^{A'})(\eta)}{\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\Delta_A^0\left(\int_{\Sigma^A}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^A(\eta)}{\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0}d\eta\right)(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}
\end{aligned}\tag{5.71a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{A'}}\frac{((\mathbb{I}_{A'}-C_{\omega^{A'}}^{\Sigma^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I})(\eta)\omega^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\hat{\Sigma}^{A'}}\frac{((\mathbb{I}_{\hat{A}'}-C_{\hat{\omega}^{A'}}^{\hat{\Sigma}^{A'}})^{-1}\mathbb{I})(\eta)\hat{\omega}^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\hat{\Sigma}^{A'}}\frac{(N_A^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta}_A^0)^{-1}(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\tilde{\Delta}_A^0N_A\mathbb{I})(\eta)\hat{\omega}^{A'}(\eta)}{\eta^2}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\hat{\Sigma}^{A'}}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\Delta_A^0((\eta-k_0)\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t})(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}^{A'})((\eta-k_0)\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t})}{\eta^2}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\int_{\Sigma^A}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\Delta_A^0(\eta)(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}(N_A\hat{\omega}^{A'})(\eta)}{\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0\right)^2}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\Delta_A^0\left(\int_{\Sigma^A}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^A(\eta)}{\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0\right)^2}d\eta\right)(\Delta_A^0)^{-1}
\end{aligned}\tag{5.71b}$$

By the proposition 5.11, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma^A}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^A(\eta)}{\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0}d\eta=\int_{\Sigma^A}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A^0}(\eta)}{\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0}d\eta+O\left(C(k_0)\frac{\ln(t)}{\sqrt{t}}\right),\tag{5.72a}$$

$$\int_{\Sigma^A}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^A(\eta)}{\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0\right)^2}d\eta=\int_{\Sigma^A}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^{A^0}(\eta)}{\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0\right)^2}d\eta+O\left(C(k_0)\frac{\ln(t)}{\sqrt{t}}\right).\tag{5.72b}$$

Here

$$\omega^{A^0}=\begin{cases}\omega_+^{A^0}=\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ \frac{\overline{r(k_0)}}{1+|r(k_0)|^2}k^{-2i\nu(k_0)}e^{\frac{ik^2}{2}} & 0\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\Sigma_A^1,\\ \omega_+^{A^0}=\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ -\overline{r(k_0)}k^{-2i\nu(k_0)}e^{\frac{ik^2}{2}} & 0\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\Sigma_A^3,\\ \omega_-^{A^0}=\begin{pmatrix}0 & -r(k_0)k^{2i\nu(k_0)}e^{-\frac{ik^2}{2}}\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\Sigma_A^2,\\ \omega_+^{A^0}=\begin{pmatrix}0 & \frac{r(k_0)}{1+|r(k_0)|^2}k^{2i\nu(k_0)}e^{-\frac{ik^2}{2}}\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\Sigma_A^4,\end{cases}\tag{5.73}$$



FIGURE 6. The Σ^A.

Define

$$\tilde{M}^{A_0}(k)=\mathbb{I}+\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^A}\frac{((\mathbb{I}_A-A^0)^{-1}\mathbb{I})(\eta)\omega^{A^0}(\eta)}{\eta-k}d\eta,\tag{5.74}$$

then $\tilde{M}^{A_0}(k)$ satisfies the Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$\begin{cases}\tilde{M}_+^{A^0}(k)=\tilde{M}_-^{A^0}(k)\tilde{J}^{A_0}(k), & k\in\Sigma^A,\\ \tilde{M}^{A^0}(k)\to\mathbb{I}, & k\to\infty,\end{cases}\tag{5.75}$$

where

$$\tilde{J}^{A_0}(k)=(b_-^{A^0})^{-1}(k)b_+^{A^0}(k)=(\mathbb{I}-\omega_-^{A^0})^{-1}(\mathbb{I}+\omega_+^{A^0}).\tag{5.76}$$

If

$$\tilde{M}^{A^0}(k)=\mathbb{I}-\frac{\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}}{k}+O(k^{-2}),\quad k\to\infty,\tag{5.77}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\int_{\Sigma^A}\tfrac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^A(\eta)}{\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^A}\tfrac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^A(\eta)}{\eta+k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}d\eta\\
&=\tilde{M}^{A^0}(-k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t})-\mathbb{I}\\
&=\tfrac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}+O(t^{-1}\ln t),\quad t\to\infty.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.78a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\int_{\Sigma^A}\tfrac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^A(\eta)}{\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0\right)^2}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^A}\tfrac{(\mathbb{I}_A-A)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^A(\eta)}{\left(\eta+k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}\right)^2}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{d\tilde{M}^{A^0}}{dk}\Big|_{k=-k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\\
&=\tfrac{1}{k_0^2\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}+O(t^{-1}\ln t),\quad t\to\infty.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.78b}$$

Remark 5.12. *Similarly for k near $-k_0$. The scaling operator is*

$$(N_Bf)(k)=f(-k_0+\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}),\tag{5.79}$$

and

$$(N_B\delta e^{-it\theta})(k)=\delta_B^0\delta_B^1,\to\tilde{\delta}\tilde{k}^{-i\nu(k_0)}e^{\frac{i\tilde{k}^2}{4}},\quad as\quad t\to\infty,\tag{5.80}$$

where

$$\delta_B^0=(\frac{4t}{k_0})^{-\frac{i\nu(-k_0)}{2}}e^{\chi(-k_0)}e^{-\frac{it}{2k_0}},\tag{5.81a}$$

$$\delta_B^1=(-k)^{i\nu(k_0)}e^{-\frac{ik^2}{4}}\left(\frac{-2k_0}{-2k_0+\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^3t}}}\right)^{i\nu(k_0)}e^{\chi(-k_0+\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}})-\chi(-k_0)}e^{-\frac{ik^3}{4\eta^4k_0^{-9/2}t^{1/2}}}.\tag{5.81b}$$

If

$$\tilde{M}^{B^0}(k)=\mathbb{I}-\frac{\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}}{k}+O(k^{-2}),\quad k\to\infty,\tag{5.82}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\textstyle\int_{\Sigma^B}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_B-B)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^B(\eta)}{\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}-k_0}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\textstyle\int_{\Sigma^B}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_B-B)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^A(\eta)}{\eta-k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}d\eta\\
&=\tilde{M}^{B^0}(k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t})-\mathbb{I}\\
&=-\tfrac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}+O(t^{-1}\ln t),\quad t\to\infty.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.83a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tfrac{1}{2\pi i}\tfrac{1}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\textstyle\int_{\Sigma^B}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_B-B)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^B(\eta)}{\left(\frac{\eta}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}-k_0\right)^2}d\eta\\
&=\tfrac{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}{2\pi i}\textstyle\int_{\Sigma^B}\frac{(\mathbb{I}_B-B)^{-1}\mathbb{I}(\eta)\omega^B(\eta)}{\left(\eta-k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}\right)^2}d\eta\\
&=\left.\tfrac{d\tilde{M}^{B^0}}{dk}\right|_{k=k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\\
&=\tfrac{1}{k_0^2\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}+O(t^{-1}\ln t),\quad t\to\infty.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.83b}$$

5.7. **Model Riemann-Hilbert problem.** Consider the Riemann-Hilbert problem (5.75) for $\tilde{M}^{A^0}$, we introduce a transformation

$$\tilde{\Phi}^{A^0}(k)=\tilde{M}^{A^0}(k)\Phi^T(k),\tag{5.84}$$

where

$$\Phi^T(k)=\begin{cases}
k^{i\nu(k_0)\sigma_3}e^{-\frac{ik^2}{4}\hat{\sigma}_3}\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\ \frac{\overline{r(k_0)}}{1+|r(k_0)|^2}&1\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\Omega_1^e,\\
k^{i\nu(k_0)\sigma_3}e^{-\frac{ik^2}{4}\hat{\sigma}_3}\begin{pmatrix}1&r(k_0)\\ 0&1\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\Omega_3^e,\\
k^{i\nu(k_0)\sigma_3}e^{-\frac{ik^2}{4}\hat{\sigma}_3}\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\ -\overline{r(k_0)}&1\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\Omega_4^e,\\
k^{i\nu(k_0)\sigma_3}e^{-\frac{ik^2}{4}\hat{\sigma}_3}\begin{pmatrix}1&-\frac{r(k_0)}{1+|r(k_0)|^2}\\ 0&1\end{pmatrix}, & k\in\Omega_6^e,\\
k^{i\nu(k_0)\sigma_3}, & k\in\Omega_2^e\cup\Omega_5^e.
\end{cases}\tag{5.85}$$

Then, $\tilde{\Phi}^{A^0}(k)$ satisfies the Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$\begin{cases}
\tilde{\Phi}_+^{A^0}(k)=\tilde{\Phi}_-^{A^0}(k)e^{-\frac{ik^2}{4}\hat{\sigma}_3}\tilde{J}_\Phi^{A^0}(k), & k\in\mathbb{R},\\
\tilde{\Phi}^{A^0}(k)\to k^{i\nu(k_0)\sigma_3}, & k\to\infty,
\end{cases}\tag{5.86}$$



FIGURE 7. The regions Ω_j^e, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$.

where

$$\tilde{J}_{\Phi}^{A^0}(k) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(k_0) \\ \overline{r(k_0)} & 1 + |r(k_0)|^2 \end{pmatrix}, & k > 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 + |r(k_0)|^2 & -r(k_0) \\ -\overline{r(k_0)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & k < 0, \end{cases} \tag{5.87}$$

the contour $\mathbb{R}$ oriented from the original to ∞ and $-\infty$.

Hence, if we reorient the contour $\mathbb{R}$ from $-\infty$ to ∞, we get the jump matrix

$$\tilde{J}_{\Phi}^{A^0}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(k_0) \\ \overline{r(k_0)} & 1 + |r(k_0)|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.88}$$

Let

$$\tilde{M}^{model}(k) = \tilde{\Phi}^{A^0}(k) e^{-\frac{ik^2}{4}\sigma_3}, \tag{5.89}$$

then we have the model Riemann-Hilbert problem

$$\tilde{M}_+^{model}(k) = \tilde{M}_-^{model}(k)\tilde{J}(k_0), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{5.90}$$

where

$$\tilde{J}(k_0) = \tilde{J}_{\Phi}^{A^0}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(k_0) \\ \overline{r(k_0)} & 1 + |r(k_0)|^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.91}$$

So, we have

$$(\partial_k \tilde{M}^{model} + \frac{ik}{2}\sigma_3 \tilde{M}^{model})(\tilde{M}^{model})^{-1} = -\frac{i}{2}[\sigma_3, \tilde{M}^{A^0}] + O(k^{-1}), \tag{5.92}$$

since $(\partial_k \tilde{M}^{model} + \frac{ik}{2}\sigma_3 \tilde{M}^{model})(\tilde{M}^{model})^{-1}$ is entire,

$$\partial_k \tilde{M}^{model} + \frac{ik}{2}\sigma_3 \tilde{M}^{model} = \beta \tilde{M}^{model}, \tag{5.93}$$

here

$$\beta = -\frac{i}{2}[\sigma_3, \tilde{M}^{A^0}] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta_{12} \\ \beta_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.94}$$

Thus, we have

$$(\tilde{M}^{A^0})_{12} = i\beta_{12}, \quad (\tilde{M}^{A^0})_{21} = -i\beta_{21}. \tag{5.95}$$

Let us consider $\mathrm{Im}k > 0$, denote $\tilde{M}^{model}(k)$ by $\tilde{M}^{+}(k)$, we have

$$\partial_k \tilde{M}^{+}_{11} + \frac{ik}{2}\tilde{M}^{+}_{11} = \beta_{12}\tilde{M}^{+}_{21} \tag{5.96a}$$

and

$$\partial_k \tilde{M}^{+}_{21} - \frac{ik}{2}\tilde{M}^{+}_{21} = \beta_{21}\tilde{M}^{+}_{11}, \tag{5.96b}$$

so

$$\partial_k^2 \tilde{M}^{+}_{11} = (-\frac{k^2}{4} - \frac{i}{2} + \beta_{12}\beta_{21})\tilde{M}^{+}_{11} \tag{5.97}$$

Setting

$$\tilde{M}^{+}_{11} = g(e^{-\frac{3i\pi}{4}}k), \tag{5.98}$$

we have the parabolic cylinder equation

$$\partial_\xi^2 + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\xi^2}{4} + a)g = 0, \tag{5.99}$$

where $a = i\beta_{12}\beta_{21}$.

Then,

$$\tilde{M}^{+}_{11}(k) = c_1 D_a(e^{-\frac{3i\pi}{4}}k) + c_2 D_a(-e^{-\frac{3i\pi}{4}}k), \tag{5.100}$$

where $D_a(z)$ denotes the parabolic cylinder function.

As $z \to \infty$, we have the asymptotic formula [24] P.327,

$$\begin{aligned} D_a(z) &= z^a e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}}\left(1 + O(z^{-2})\right), \quad |\mathrm{arg}z| < \tfrac{3\pi}{4}, \\ &= z^a e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}}\left(1 + O(z^{-2})\right) - \tfrac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(-a)} e^{a\pi i} z^{-a-1} e^{\frac{z^2}{4}}\left(1 + O(z^{-2})\right), \quad \tfrac{\pi}{4} < \mathrm{arg}z < \tfrac{5\pi}{4}, \\ &= z^a e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}}\left(1 + O(z^{-2})\right) - \tfrac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\Gamma(-a)} e^{-a\pi i} z^{-a-1} e^{\frac{z^2}{4}}\left(1 + O(z^{-2})\right), \quad -\tfrac{5\pi}{4} < \mathrm{arg}z < -\tfrac{\pi}{4} \end{aligned} \tag{5.101}$$

We have

$$a = i\nu(k_0), \tag{5.102}$$

so

$$\nu(k_0) = \beta_{12}\beta_{21}. \tag{5.103}$$

Thus, for $\mathrm{Im}k > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{M}_{11}^{+}(k) &= e^{-\frac{3\pi\nu(k_0)}{4}} D_a(e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}}k),\\ \tilde{M}_{21}^{+}(k) &= \tfrac{1}{\beta_{12}} e^{-\frac{3\pi\nu(k_0)}{4}} (\partial_k D_a(e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}}k)) + \tfrac{ik}{2} D_a(e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}}k),\end{aligned} \tag{5.104}$$

Similarly, for $\mathrm{Im}k < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{M}_{11}^{-}(k) &= e^{\frac{\pi\nu(k_0)}{4}} D_a(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}k),\\ \tilde{M}_{21}^{-}(k) &= \tfrac{1}{\beta_{12}} e^{\frac{\pi\nu(k_0)}{4}} (\partial_k D_a(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}k)) + \tfrac{ik}{2} D_a(e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}k),\end{aligned} \tag{5.105}$$

Since

$$(\tilde{M}_{-}^{model})^{-1}\tilde{M}_{+}^{model} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r(k_0) \\ \overline{r(k_0)} & 1+|r(k_0)|^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.106}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{r(k_0)} &= \tilde{M}_{11}^{-}\tilde{M}_{21}^{+} - \tilde{M}_{21}^{-}\tilde{M}_{11}^{+}\\ &= \tfrac{1}{\beta_{12}} e^{-\frac{\pi\nu(k_0)}{2}} \mathrm{Wr}(D_a(e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}k), D_a(e^{-\frac{3\pi i}{4}}k))\\ &= \tfrac{\sqrt{2\pi}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}e^{-\frac{\pi\nu(k_0)}{2}}}{\beta_{12}\Gamma(-a)},\end{aligned} \tag{5.107}$$

where $\mathrm{Wr}(f,g)$ denotes the Wronskian of f, g and $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Euler Gamma function.

Hence, we have

$$\beta_{12} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}e^{-\frac{\pi\nu(k_0)}{2}}}{\overline{r(k_0)}\Gamma(-i\nu(k_0))}, \tag{5.108}$$

and

$$\beta_{21} = \frac{\nu(k_0)}{\beta_{12}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-\frac{i\pi}{4}}e^{-\frac{\pi\nu(k_0)}{2}}}{r(k_0)\Gamma(i\nu(k_0))}, \tag{5.109}$$

since $|\Gamma(i\nu(k_0))|^2 = \frac{\pi}{\nu(k_0)\mathrm{sinh}(\pi\nu(k_0))}$.

5.8. **The asymptotic behavior of the solution $u(x,t)$.** Remind as $t \to \infty$, the representation (5.43) of the solution $u(y,t)$ and the

computation results (5.71) and (5.83), we have

$$\begin{aligned} iu(y,t) &= \left(1+\tfrac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}-\tfrac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}+O\left(C(k_0)\tfrac{\ln t}{t}\right)\right)_{11} \\ &\quad\cdot\left((\delta_A^0)^2\tfrac{1}{k_0^2\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}+(\delta_B^0)^2\tfrac{1}{k_0^2\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}+O\left(C(k_0)\tfrac{\ln t}{t}\right)\right)_{21} \\ &\quad-\left((\delta_A^0)^2\tfrac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}-(\delta_B^0)^2\tfrac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}+O\left(C(k_0)\tfrac{\ln t}{t}\right)\right)_{21} \\ &\quad\cdot\left(\tfrac{1}{k_0^2\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}+\tfrac{1}{k_0^2\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}+O\left(C(k_0)\tfrac{\ln t}{t}\right)\right)_{11}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.110a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ic_+(y,t) &= \left(1+\tfrac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}-\tfrac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}+O\left(C(k_0)\tfrac{\ln t}{t}\right)\right)_{22} \\ &\quad\cdot\left(\tfrac{1}{k_0^2\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}+\tfrac{1}{k_0^2\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}+O\left(C(k_0)\tfrac{\ln t}{t}\right)\right)_{11} \\ &\quad-\left((\delta_A^0)^{-2}\tfrac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}-(\delta_B^0)^{-2}\tfrac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}+O\left(C(k_0)\tfrac{\ln t}{t}\right)\right)_{12} \\ &\quad\cdot\left((\delta_A^0)^2\tfrac{1}{k_0^2\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}+(\delta_B^0)^2\tfrac{1}{k_0^2\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}+O\left(C(k_0)\tfrac{\ln t}{t}\right)\right)_{21}, \\ &\quad-\delta_1. \end{aligned} \tag{5.110b}$$

Notice that we have

$$\delta_B^0=\overline{\delta_A^0}, \tag{5.111}$$

as $\chi(-k_0)=-\chi(k_0)=\overline{\chi(k_0)}$.

And from the symmetry conditions (3.9), we get

$$\tilde{M}_1^{A^0}=-\overline{\tilde{M}_1^{B^0}}. \tag{5.112}$$

Hence, a direct computation shows that,

$$u(x,t)=\sqrt{\frac{-4\nu(\kappa_0)}{\kappa_0 t}}\sin\{\frac{t}{\kappa_0}+\nu(\kappa_0)\ln(\frac{4t}{\kappa_0})+\phi(\kappa_0)\}+O\left(\frac{\ln(t)}{t}\right),\quad \text{as } t\to\infty, \tag{5.113}$$

where

$$\phi(\kappa_0)=\frac{\pi}{4}-\arg r(\kappa_0)-\arg\Gamma(i\nu(\kappa_0))+\frac{1}{\pi}(\int_{-\infty}^{\kappa_0}+\int_{\kappa_0}^{\infty})\ln|\kappa_0-s|d(1+|r(s)|^2)+2\kappa_0\Delta, \tag{5.114}$$

here

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\kappa_0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln\left(1+|r(s)|^2\right)}{s^2} ds, \tag{5.115}$$

and κ_0 is defined as (1.3).

This finishes the proof of theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgements This work of Xu was supported by National Science Foundation of China under project NO.11501365, Shanghai Sailing Program supported by Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality under Grant NO.15YF1408100, Shanghai youth teacher assistance program NO.ZZslg15056. And Xu want to give many thanks to Shanghai Center Mathematical Science, many of this work was done during Xu visited there.

Appendix A. Proof of the proposition 5.1

For the convenience of reader, we show the details of the procedure of the analytic continuation.

A.1. **1.** $\frac{k_0}{2} < |k| < k_0, k \in \mathbb{R}$**.** We just consider $\frac{k_0}{2} < k < k_0$, the case for $-k_0 < k < -\frac{k_0}{2}$ is similar.

Set

$$\rho(k) = -\overline{r(k)}. \tag{A.1}$$

We split $\rho(k)$ into even and odd parts, $\rho(k) = H_e(k^2) + kH_o(k^2)$, where $H_e(\cdot)$ and $H_o(\cdot)$ are of the Schwartz class.

For any positive integer m,

$$H_e(k^2) = \mu_0^e + \mu_1^e(k^2-k_0^2) + \cdots + \mu_m^e(k^2-k_0^2)^m + \frac{1}{m!}\int_{k_0^2}^{k^2} H_e^{(m+1)}(\gamma)(k^2-\gamma)^m d\gamma \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$H_o(k^2) = \mu_0^o + \mu_1^o(k^2-k_0^2) + \cdots + \mu_m^o(k^2-k_0^2)^m + \frac{1}{m!}\int_{k_0^2}^{k^2} H_o^{(m+1)}(\gamma)(k^2-\gamma)^m d\gamma. \tag{A.3}$$

Set

$$R(k) = R_m(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \mu_i^e (k^2 - k_0^2)^i + k \sum_{i=0}^{m} \mu_i^o (k^2 - k_0^2)^i. \tag{A.4}$$

Assume $m = 4q + 1$, where q is a positive integer. Write

$$\rho(k) = h(k) + R(k), \quad \frac{k_0}{2} < k < k_0, k \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{A.5}$$

Then

$$\left.\frac{d^j h(k)}{dk^j}\right|_{\pm k_0} = 0, \quad 0 \le j \le m. \tag{A.6}$$

And we have

$$h(k) = \frac{(k^2 - k_0^2)^{m+1}}{m!} g(k, k_0) \tag{A.7}$$

where

$$g(k, k_0) = \left(\int_0^1 H_e^{(m+1)}(k_0^2 + u(k^2 - k_0^2))(1-u)^m du + k \int_0^1 H_o^{(m+1)}(k_0^2 + u(k^2 - k_0^2))(1-u)^m du \right) \tag{A.8}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{d^j g(k, k_0)}{dk^j} \right| \le C, \quad \frac{k_0}{2} \le k \le k_0. \tag{A.9}$$

We will split h as $h(k) = h_I(k) + h_{II}(k)$, where h_I is small and h_{II} has an alytic continuation to Im$k < 0$. Thus

$$\rho = h_I + (h_{II} + R). \tag{A.10}$$

Set $p(k) = (k^2 - k_0^2)^q$. Recall

$$\theta(k) = k\tilde{\xi} - \frac{1}{4k} = -\frac{1}{4k_0^2}(k + \frac{k_0^2}{k}). \tag{A.11}$$

We define

$$\begin{cases} \frac{h}{p}(\theta) &= \frac{h(k(\theta))}{p(k(\theta))}, & \theta(\frac{k_0}{2}) < \theta < \theta(k_0), \\ &= 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{A.12}$$

As $|\theta| \to \theta(k_0) = -\frac{1}{2k_0}$ and $|\theta| > \frac{1}{2k_0}$, we have $\frac{h}{p}(\theta) = O((k^2(\theta) - k_0^2)^{m+1-q})$ and

$$\frac{d\theta}{dk} = \frac{k_0^2 - k^2}{4k^2 k_0^2}. \tag{A.13}$$

We claim that $\frac{h}{p} \in H^j(-\infty < \theta < \infty)$ for $0 \le j \le \frac{3q+2}{2}$. As by Fourier inversion,

$$\frac{h}{p}(k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{is\theta(k)} \widehat{(\frac{h}{p})}(s) \bar{d}s, \quad \frac{k_0}{2} < k < k_0, \tag{A.14}$$

where

$$\widehat{(\frac{h}{p})}(s)=\int_{\theta(\frac{k_0}{2})}^{\theta(k_0)}e^{-is\theta(k)}\frac{h}{p}(\theta(k))\bar{d}\theta(k),\quad s\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{A.15}$$

where $\bar{d}s=\frac{ds}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ and $\bar{d}\theta(k)=\frac{d\theta(k)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$.

Thus,

$$\begin{array}{l}\int_{\theta(\frac{k_0}{2})}^{\theta(k_0)}\left|\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}\right)^j\frac{h}{p}(\theta(k))\right|^2|\bar{d}\theta(k)|\\ =\int_{\frac{k_0}{2}}^{k_0}\left|\left(\frac{4k^2k_0^2}{k_0^2-k^2}\frac{d}{dk}\right)^j\frac{h}{p}(k)\right|^2|\frac{k_0^2-k^2}{4k^2k_0^2}|\bar{d}k\le C<\infty,\end{array} \tag{A.16}$$

for $0<k_0<M, 0\le j\le\frac{3q+2}{2}$. Hence,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+s^2)^j|\widehat{(h/p)}(s)|^2ds\le C<\infty \tag{A.17}$$

for $0<k_0<M, 0\le j\le\frac{3q+2}{2}$.

Split

$$\begin{array}{rcl}h(k) &=& p(k)\int_t^\infty e^{is\theta(k)}\widehat{(h/p)}(s)\bar{d}s+p(k)\int_{-\infty}^t e^{is\theta(k)}\widehat{(h/p)}(s)\bar{d}s\\ &=& h_I(k)+h_{II}(k).\end{array} \tag{A.18}$$

Thus, for $\frac{k_0}{2}<k<k_0\le M$ and any positive integer $n\le\frac{3q+2}{2}$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}|e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_I(k)| &\le& |p(k)|\int_t^\infty|\widehat{(h/p)}(s)|\bar{d}s\\ &\le& |p(k)|(\int_t^\infty(1+s^2)^{-n}\bar{d}s)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_t^\infty(1+s^2)^n|\widehat{(h/p)}(s)|^2\bar{d}s)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le& \frac{c}{t^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}.\end{array} \tag{A.19}$$

Consider the contour $l_1: k(u)=k_0+uk_0e^{-i\frac{3\pi}{4}}, 0\le u\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Since $\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)$ is positive on this contour, $h_{II}(k)$ has an analytic continuation to contours l_1.

On the contour l_1,

$$\begin{array}{rcl}|e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)| &\le& |k+k_0|^q(k_0u)^qe^{-t\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)}\int_{-\infty}^t e^{(s-t)\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)}\widehat{(h/p)}(s)\bar{d}s\\ &\le& ck_0^{2q}u^qe^{-t\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)}(\int_{-\infty}^t(1+s^2)^{-1}\bar{d}s)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_{-\infty}^t(1+s^2)|\widehat{(h/p)}(s)|^2\bar{d}s)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le& ck_0^{2q}u^qe^{-t\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)}.\end{array} \tag{A.20}$$

Recall $\theta(k)=-\frac{1}{4k_0^2}(k+\frac{k_0^2}{k})$, thus

$$\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)=-\mathrm{Im}\theta=\frac{\sqrt{2}u}{8k_0}\left[\frac{1}{u^2-\sqrt{2}u+1}-1\right]\ge\frac{u^2}{4k_0} \tag{A.21}$$

for $0\le u\le\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Thus, on the contour l_1

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)| &\leq ck_0^{2q}u^q e^{-t\frac{u^2}{4k_0}} \leq ck_0^{2q}u^q e^{-t\frac{u^2k_0}{4M^2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{t^{\frac{q}{2}}}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

for $k_0 < M$.

Fix ε, $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. If $k(u)$ is on the contour l_1 , $\varepsilon < u < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, then we obtain

$$|e^{-2it\theta(k)}R(k)| \leq ce^{-\frac{u^2}{2k_0}t} \leq ce^{-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2M}t} \tag{A.23}$$

A.2. **2.**$0 < |k| < \frac{k_0}{2}, k \in \mathbb{R}$**.** We consider $0 < k < \frac{k_0}{2}$, the case for $-\frac{k_0}{2} < k < 0$ is similarly.

Define

$$\begin{cases} \rho(\theta) &= \rho(k(\theta)), \quad \theta < \theta(\frac{k_0}{2}), \\ &= 0, \qquad\quad \theta \geq \theta(\frac{k_0}{2}). \end{cases} \tag{A.24}$$

We claim that $\rho(\theta) \in H^j(-\infty < \theta < \infty)$ for any nonnegative integer j.

By Fourier inversion,

$$\rho(\theta(k)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{is\theta(k)}\hat{\rho}(s)\bar{d}s, \quad 0 < k < \frac{k_0}{2}, \tag{A.25}$$

where

$$\hat{\rho}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\theta(\frac{k_0}{2})} e^{-is\theta(k)}\rho(\theta(k))\bar{d}\theta(k). \tag{A.26}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\textstyle\int_{-\infty}^{\theta(\frac{k_0}{2})} \left|\left(\frac{d}{d\theta}\right)^j \rho(\theta(k))\right|^2 |\bar{d}\theta(k)| \\ &= \textstyle\int_0^{\frac{k_0}{2}} \left|\left(\frac{4k^2k_0^2}{k_0^2-k^2}\frac{d}{dk}\right)^j \rho(k)\right|^2 |\frac{k_0^2-k^2}{4k^2k_0^2}|\bar{d}k \leq C < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{A.27}$$

for any nonnegative integer j, $0 < k_0 < M$, since $r(k) \to 0$ rapidly, as $k \to 0$.

Hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+s^2)^j |\hat{\rho}(s)|^2 \bar{d}s \leq C, \tag{A.28}$$

for any nonnegative integer j.

Split

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(k) &= \textstyle\int_t^{\infty} e^{is\theta(k)}\hat{\rho}(s)\bar{d}s + \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{is\theta(k)}\hat{\rho}(s)\bar{d}s \\ &= h_I(k) + h_{II}(k). \end{aligned} \tag{A.29}$$

Then, for $0 < k < \frac{k_0}{2}$ and any positive integer j, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_I(k)| &\leq \int_t^\infty |\hat{\rho}|\bar{ds} \\ &\leq (\int_t^\infty (1+s^2)^{-j}\bar{ds})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_t^\infty (1+s^2)^j|\hat{\rho}(s)|^2\bar{ds})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{t^{j-\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.30}$$

Consider the contour $l_2 : k(u) = uk_0e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}}, 0 < u < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Since $\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)$ is positive on this contour, h_{II} has an analytic continuation to contour l_2.

On the contour l_2,

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)| &\leq e^{-t\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)}\int_{-\infty}^t e^{(s-t)\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)}|\hat{\rho}(k)|\bar{ds} \\ &\leq e^{-t\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)}(\int_{-\infty}^t (1+s^2)^{-1}\bar{ds})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_{-\infty}^t (1+s^2)|\hat{\rho}(k)|^2\bar{ds})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{A.31}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8k_0}(\frac{1}{u} - u) \geq \frac{1}{8k_0}, \tag{A.32}$$

for $0 < u \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Thus, we obtain,

$$|e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)| \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{8k_0}}. \tag{A.33}$$

A.3. **3.** $|k| > k_0, k \in \mathbb{R}$**.** We consider $k > k_0$, the case for $k < -k_0$ is similarly.

Set

$$\rho(k) = \frac{\overline{r(\bar{k})}}{1+|r(k)|^2}. \tag{A.34}$$

We write

$$(k+i)^{m+5}\rho(k) = \mu_0+\mu_1(k-k_0)+\cdots+\mu_m(k-k_0)^m+\frac{1}{m!}\int_{k_0}^k((\cdot+i)^{m+5}\rho(\cdot))^{(m+1)}(\gamma)(k-\gamma)^m d\gamma. \tag{A.35}$$

Define

$$R(k) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^m \mu_i(k-k_0)^i}{(k+i)^{m+5}} \tag{A.36}$$

and write $\rho(k) = h(k) + R(k)$. We have

$$\left.\frac{d^j h(k)}{dk^j}\right|_{k_0} = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq m. \tag{A.37}$$

For $0 < k_0 < M$, set

$$v(k) = \frac{(k-k_0)^q}{(k+i)^{q+2}}. \tag{A.38}$$

Let

$$\begin{cases} \frac{h}{v}(\theta) &= \frac{h}{v}(k(\theta)), & \theta < \theta(k_0), \\ &= 0, & \theta \geq \theta(k_0). \end{cases} \tag{A.39}$$

Then

$$\frac{h}{v}(\theta(k)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{is\theta(k)} \widehat{(\frac{h}{v})}(s)\bar{d}s, \quad k \geq k_0, \tag{A.40}$$

where

$$\widehat{(\frac{h}{v})}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\theta(k_0)} e^{-is\theta(k)} \frac{h}{v}(\theta(k))\bar{d}\theta(k). \tag{A.41}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{h}{v}(\theta(k)) = \frac{(k-k_0)^{3q+2}}{(k+i)^{3q+4}} g(k,k_0), \tag{A.42}$$

where

$$g(k,k_0) = \frac{1}{m!}\int_0^1 ((\cdot+i)^{m+5}\rho(\cdot))^{(m+1)}(k_0+u(k-k_0))(1-u)^k du \tag{A.43}$$

and

$$\left|\frac{d^j g(k,k_0)}{dk^j}\right| \leq C, \quad k \geq k_0. \tag{A.44}$$

Using the identity $\left|\frac{k-k_0}{k+k_0}\right| \leq 1$ for $k \geq k_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\theta(k_0)} \left|\left(\tfrac{d}{d\theta}\right)^j \left(\tfrac{h}{v}(\theta(k))\right)\right|^2 \bar{d}\theta(k) &= \int_{k_0}^{\infty} \left|\left(\tfrac{4k^2k_0^2}{k_0^2-k^2}\tfrac{d}{dk}\right)^j \tfrac{h}{v}(k)\right|^2 |\tfrac{k_0^2-k^2}{4k_0^2k^2}|\bar{d}k \\ &\leq c\int_{k_0}^{\infty} \left|\tfrac{(k-k_0)^{3q+2-3j}}{(k-i)^{3q+4}}\right|^2 k^{4j-2}(k^2-k_0^2)\bar{d}k \leq C_1, \quad 0 \leq j \leq \tfrac{3q+2}{3}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.45}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+s^2)^j \left|\widehat{(\frac{h}{v})}(s)\right|^2 \bar{d}s \leq C < \infty. \tag{A.46}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} h(k) &= v(k)\textstyle\int_t^{\infty} e^{is\theta(k)}\widehat{(h/v)}(s)\bar{d}s + v(k)\int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{is\theta(k)}\widehat{(h/v)}(s)\bar{d}s \\ &= h_I(k) + h_{II}(k). \end{aligned} \tag{A.47}$$

For $k \geq k_0, 0 < k_0 < M$, and any positive integer $e \leq \frac{3q+2}{3}$, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_I(k)| &\leq \tfrac{|k-k_0|^q}{|k+i|^{q+2}}\textstyle\int_t^{\infty} |\widehat{(h/v)}(s)|\bar{d}s \\ &\leq \tfrac{|k-k_0|^q}{|k+i|^{q+2}}(\int_t^{\infty}(1+s^2)^{-e}\bar{d}s)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\int_t^{\infty}(1+s^2)^e|\widehat{(h/v)}(s)|^2\bar{d}s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c\tfrac{1}{(1+|k|^2)t^{e-\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.48}$$

And $h_{II}(k)$ has an analytic continuation to the upper half-plane, where $\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)$ is positive. We estimate $e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)$ on the contour $k(u) = k_0 + uk_0e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}}, u \geq 0$.

If $0 < u \leq M_1$,

$$|e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)| \leq c\frac{k_0^q u^q e^{-t\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)}}{|k-i|^{q+2}}, \tag{A.49}$$

where

$$\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k) = \frac{\sqrt{2}u}{8k_0}\frac{u^2+\sqrt{2}u}{u^2+\sqrt{2}u+1} \geq \frac{1}{4k_0}\frac{u^2}{u^2+\sqrt{2}u+1} \tag{A.50}$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} |e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)| & \leq & c\frac{k_0^q u^q e^{-t\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)}}{|k+i|^{q+2}} \leq c_1\frac{k_0^q u^q}{|k+i|^{q+2}}e^{-t\frac{1}{4k_0}\frac{u^2}{u^2+\sqrt{2}u+1}} \\ & \leq & \frac{c_2}{(1+|k|^2)^{q+2}t^{\frac{q}{2}}} \leq \frac{c_3}{(1+|k|^2)t^{\frac{q}{2}}} \end{array} \tag{A.51}$$

If $u > M_1$, then

$$\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k) \geq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8k_0}\frac{u^3}{u^2+\sqrt{2}u+1} \tag{A.52}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} |e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)| & \leq & c\frac{k_0^q u^q e^{-t\mathrm{Re}i\theta(k)}}{|k+i|^{q+2}} \leq c_4\frac{k_0^q u^q}{|k+i|^{q+2}}e^{-t\frac{\sqrt{2}}{8k_0}\frac{u^3}{u^2+\sqrt{2}u+1}} \\ & \leq & \frac{c_5}{(1+|k|^2)t^q} \end{array} \tag{A.53}$$

Hence, for $u > 0$, we obtain

$$|e^{-2it\theta(k)}h_{II}(k)| \leq \frac{c_5}{(1+|k|^2)t^{\frac{q}{2}}}. \tag{A.54}$$

Note that if l is an arbitrary positive integer, we can choose m large enough such that $\frac{3q+2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} > q - \frac{1}{2} > \frac{q}{2} > l$, so the proposition holds.

Appendix B. How to reduce the contour Σ to $\Sigma^{(3)}$

Let us now show that, in the sense of appropriately defined operator norms, one may always choose to delete (or add) a portion of a contour(s) on which the jump is $\mathbb{I}$, without altering the Riemann-Hilbert problem in the operator sense.

Suppose that Σ_1 and Σ_2 are two oriented skeletons in $\mathbb{C}$ with

$$\mathrm{card}(\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2) < \infty; \tag{B.1}$$

let $u = u(\lambda) = u_+(\lambda) + u_-(\lambda)$ be a 2×2 matrix-valued function on

$$\Sigma_{12} = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \tag{B.2}$$

with entries in $L^2(\Sigma_{12}) \cap L^\infty(\Sigma_{12})$ and suppose that

$$u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Sigma_2. \tag{B.3}$$

Let

$$R_{\Sigma_1} \text{ denote the restriction map } L^2(\Sigma_{12}) \to L^2(\Sigma_1), \tag{B.4}$$

$$\mathbb{I}_{\Sigma_1 \to \Sigma^{(12)}} \text{ denote the embedding } L^2(\Sigma_1) \to L^2(\Sigma_{12}), \tag{B.5}$$

$$C_u^{12} : L^2(\Sigma_{12}) \to L^2(\Sigma_{12}) \text{ denote the operator in (3.5) with } u \leftrightarrow \omega, \tag{B.6}$$

$$C_u^{1} : L^2(\Sigma_{1}) \to L^2(\Sigma_{1}) \text{ denote the operator in (3.5) with } u \uparrow \Sigma_1 \leftrightarrow \omega, \tag{B.7}$$

$$C_u^{E} : L^2(\Sigma_{1}) \to L^2(\Sigma_{12}) \text{ denote the restriction of } C_u^{12} \text{ to } L^2(\Sigma_1). \tag{B.8}$$

And, finally, let

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{I}_{\Sigma_1} \text{ and } \mathbb{I}_{\Sigma_{12}} \text{ denote the identity operators on} \\ L^2(\Sigma_1) \text{ and } L^2(\Sigma_{12}), \text{ respectively.} \end{cases} \tag{B.9}$$

We then have the next lemma:

Lemma B.1.

$$C_u^{12} C_u^E = C_u^E C_u^{12}, \tag{B.10}$$

$$(\mathbb{I}_{\Sigma_1} - C_u^1)^{-1} = R_{\Sigma_1}(\mathbb{I}_{\Sigma_{12}} - C_u^{12})^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{\Sigma_1 \to \Sigma_{12}}, \tag{B.11}$$

$$(\mathbb{I}_{\Sigma_{12}} - C_u^{12})^{-1} = \mathbb{I}_{\Sigma_{12}} + C_u^E(\mathbb{I}_{\Sigma_1} - C_u^1)^{-1}R_{\Sigma_1}, \tag{B.12}$$

in the sense that if the right-hand side of (B.11),resp. (B.12), exists, then the left-hand side exists and identity (B.11),resp. (B.12), holds true.

Proof. See Lemma 2.56 in [14]. □

Appendix C. Proof of the proposition 5.11

Proof. Write

$$\begin{aligned} &R\left(\tfrac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}} + k_0\right)(\delta_A^1(k))^2 - R(k_0\pm)k^{-2i\nu}e^{i\frac{k^2}{2}} \\ &= e^{i\frac{\beta}{2}k^2}e^{i\frac{\beta}{2}k^2}R\left(\tfrac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}} + k_0\right)k^{-2i\nu}e^{i(1-2\beta)\frac{k^2}{2}(1-\frac{k}{(1-2\beta)\eta^4\sqrt{k_0^{-9}t}})} \\ &\times\left(\tfrac{2k_0}{2k_0+\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}}\right)^{-2i\nu} e^{2\left(\chi(\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0)-\chi(k_0)\right)} - e^{i\frac{\beta}{2}k^2}e^{i\frac{\beta}{2}k^2}R(k_0\pm)k^{-2i\nu}e^{i(1-2\beta)\frac{k^2}{2}} \end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

and also divided it into six terms

$$R\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0\right)(\delta_A^1(k))^2-R(k_0\pm)k^{-2i\nu}e^{i\frac{k^2}{2}}=e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}(I+II+III+IV) \tag{C.2}$$

where

$$I=e^{i(1-\beta)\frac{k^2}{2}}k^{-2i\nu}[R(\tfrac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0)-R(k_0\pm)]$$

$$II=e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}k^{-2i\nu}R(\tfrac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0)\left(e^{i(1-2\beta)\frac{k^2}{2}(1-\frac{k}{(1-2\beta)\eta^4\sqrt{k_0^{-9}t}})}-e^{i(1-2\beta)\frac{k^2}{2}}\right)$$

$$III=e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}k^{-2i\nu}R(\tfrac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0)e^{i(1-2\beta)\frac{k^2}{2}(1-\frac{k}{(1-2\beta)\eta^4\sqrt{k_0^{-9}t}})}\left(\left(\frac{2k_0}{2k_0+\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}}\right)^{-2i\nu}-1\right)$$

$$IV=e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}k^{-2i\nu}R(\tfrac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0)e^{i(1-2\beta)\frac{k^2}{2}(1-\frac{k}{(1-2\beta)\eta^4\sqrt{k_0^{-9}t}})}\left(\frac{2k_0}{2k_0+\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}}\right)^{-2i\nu}$$
$$\left(e^{2\left(\chi(\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0)-\chi(k_0)\right)}-1\right)$$

Note that $|e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}|=e^{-\frac{\beta\lambda^2k_0^2}{2}}$ and $|k^{-2i\nu}|=e^{2\nu\arg k}\le C$, where C is a constant which is independent of k, for $k=k_0\lambda e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}, -\varepsilon<\lambda<\varepsilon$. The terms I, II, III and IV can be estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}|I| &\le |k^{-2i\nu}|\cdot|e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}|\cdot|\tfrac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}|\cdot||\partial_kR(k)||_{L^\infty}\\ &\le \tfrac{C}{\sqrt{t}},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|II| &\le |k^{-2i\nu}|\cdot|e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}|\cdot||R||_{L^\infty}\cdot\left|\frac{d}{ds}e^{i(1-2\beta)\frac{k^2}{2}(1-s\frac{k}{(1-2\beta)\eta^4\sqrt{k_0^{-9}t}})}\right|,\quad 0<s<1\\ &\le \tfrac{C}{\sqrt{t}}\end{aligned}$$

To estimate III, we write

$$\begin{aligned}|III| &\le |k^{-2i\nu}|\cdot|e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}|\cdot||R||_{L^\infty}\cdot|e^{i(1-2\beta)\frac{k^2}{2}(1-\frac{k}{(1-2\beta)\eta^4\sqrt{k_0^{-9}t}})}|\cdot|\int_1^{1+\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{4t}}k}2i\nu\xi^{2i\nu-1}d\xi|\\ &\le \tfrac{C}{\sqrt{t}},\end{aligned}$$

as $|\xi^{2i\nu-1}|\le c$ for $\xi=1+s\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{4t}}k, 0\le s\le 1$.

The estimate for IV is as follows,

$$|IV| \leq C\sup_{0\leq s\leq 1}|e^{2\left(\chi(\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0)-\chi(k_0)\right)}|\cdot\left|2e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}(\chi(\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0)-\chi(k_0))\right|$$

now let us show how to control $\left|e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}(\chi(\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0)-\chi(k_0))\right|$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}(\chi(\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}+k_0)-\chi(k_0))\right| \\
&=\left|\frac{e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{-k_0}\ln\frac{k_0-s+\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}}{k_0-s}d\ln(1+|r(s)|^2)+\int_{k_0}^{\infty}\ln\frac{s-k_0-\frac{k}{\sqrt{k_0^{-3}t}}}{s-k_0}d\ln(1+|r(s)|^2)\right| \\
&=\left|\frac{e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{-1}\ln(1+\frac{\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}k}{1-s})d\ln(1+|r(sk_0)|^2)+\int_1^{\infty}\ln(1-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}k}{s-1})d\ln(1+|r(sk_0)|^2)\right| \\
&=|IV_1+IV_2|
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$IV_1=\frac{e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{-1}(g(s)-g(1))\ln(1+\frac{\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}k}{1-s})ds+\int_1^{\infty}(g(s)-g(1))\ln(1-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}k}{s-1})ds$$

$$IV_2=\frac{e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{-1}g(1)\ln(1+\frac{\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}k}{1-s})ds+\int_1^{\infty}g(1)\ln(1-\frac{\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}k}{s-1})ds$$

here $g(s)=\partial_s\ln(1+|r(sk_0)|^2)$.

Then, using the Lipschitz condition $|\ln(1+a)|\leq|a|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|IV_1|\leq\left|\frac{e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}}{2\pi}\right|\cdot\int_{-\infty}^{-1}\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}|k|\cdot|\frac{g(s)-g(1)}{s-1}|ds+\left|\frac{e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}}{2\pi}\right|\cdot\int_1^{\infty}\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}|k|\cdot|\frac{g(s)-g(1)}{s-1}|ds \\
&\leq Ct^{-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $g(s)$ is rapidly decay as $s\to\infty$, so the above integral is well-defined. And notice $|ke^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}|$ and $\partial_s g$ are bounded.

$$\begin{aligned}
|IV_2|&=\left|\frac{e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}}{2\pi}\right|\cdot\left|\int_2^{\infty}\ln(1-\frac{k_0k^2}{s^2t})ds\right| \\
&=\left|\frac{e^{i\beta\frac{k^2}{2}}}{2\pi}\right|\cdot\left|(\int_2^L+\int_L^{\infty})\ln(1-\frac{k_0k^2}{s^2t})ds\right|,
\end{aligned}$$

where L is a big-enough positive constant. Since the above infinity integral is well-defined, the second integral is very small. And integral by parts shows that the first integral becomes

$$\int_2^L\ln(1-\frac{k_0k^2}{s^2t})ds=\left(\ln(s-\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}k)(s-\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}k)+\ln(s+\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}k)(s+\sqrt{\frac{k_0}{t}}k)-2s\ln s\right)\Big|_2^L$$

so we have

$$|IV_2| \leq C\frac{\log t}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

Then, we can get the estimate of (5.70). This finishes the proof of the proposition 5.11. □

References

[1] T. Schäfer and C.E. Wayne, Propagation of ultra-short optical pulses in cubic nonlinear media, Physica D, 196(2004), 90-105.

[2] Y. Chung, C.K.R.T. Jones, T. Schäfer and C.E. Wayne, Ultra-short pulses in linear and nonlinear media, Nonlinearity, 18(2005), 1351-1374.

[3] M.L. Rabelo, On equations which describe pseudospherical surfaces, Stud. Appl. Math., 81(1989), 221-248.

[4] A. Sakovich and S. Sakovich, The short pulse equation is integrable, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 74(2005), 239-241.

[5] J. C. Brunelli, The short pulse equation hierarchy, J. Math. Phys. 46(2005), 123507.

[6] J. C. Brunelli, The bi-Hamiltonian structure of the short pulse equation, Phys. Lett. A, 353(2006), 475-478.

[7] A. Sakovich and S. Sakovich, Solitary wave solutions of the short pulse equation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39(2006), L361-367.

[8] Y. Matsuno, Multiloop solutions and multibreather solutions of the short pulse model equation, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 76(2007) 084003, 6 pages.

[9] S. Sakovich, Integrability of the vector short pulse equation, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 77(2008) 123001, 4 pages.

[10] B. F. Feng, K. Maruno and Y. Ohta, Integrable discretizations of the short pulse equation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 43(2010), 085203.

[11] B. F. Feng, Complex short pulse and couple complex short pulse equations, Physica D, 297(2015), 62-75.

[12] D. Pelinovsky and A. Sakovich, Global well-posedness of the short-pulse and sine-Gordon equations in energy space, Comm. Partial Differ. Equ., 35(2010), 613-629.

[13] Y. Liu, D. Pelinovsky and A. Sakovich, Wave breaking in the short-pulse equation, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. 6(2009), 291-310.

[14] P. Deift and X. Zhou, A steepest descent method for oscillatory Riemann–Hilbert problems, Ann. of Math. (2), 137(1993), 295-368.

[15] P. A. Deift, A. R. Its, and X. Zhou, Long-time asymptotics for integrable nonlinear wave equations, in "Important developments in soliton theory", 181-204, Springer Ser. Nonlinear Dynam., Springer, Berlin, 1993.

[16] Po-Jen Cheng, S. Venakides and X. Zhou, Long-time asymptotics for the pure radiation solution of the Sine–Gordon equation, Comm. Part. Diff. Equ., 24(1999), 1195-1262.

[17] K. Grunert and G. Teschl, Long-Time Asymptotics for the Korteweg-de Vries Equation via Nonlinear Steepest Descent, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 12(2009), 287-324.

[18] J. Xu and E. Fan, Long-time asymptotics for the Fokas-Lenells equation with decaying initial value problem: Without solitons, J. Diff. Equa., 259(2015), 1098-1148.

[19] A. Boutet de Monvel, A. Kostenko, D. Shepelsky, and G. Teschl, Long-Time Asymptotics for the Camassa-Holm Equation, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 41(2009), 1559-1588.

[20] A. Boutet de Monvel, D. Shepelsky and L. Zielinski, The short-wave model for the Camassa-Holm equation: a Riemann-Hilbert approach, Inverse Problems, 27(2011), 105006.

[21] Y. H. Ichikawa, K. Konno, and M. Wadati, Nonlinear Transverse Oscillation of Elastic Beams under Tension, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 50(1981), 1799-1802.

[22] Z. Qiao and X. Q. Li, An integrable equation with nonsmooth solitons, Theor. Math. Phys., 267(2011), 584-589.

[23] R. Beals and R. Coifman, Scattering and inverse scattering for first order systems, Comm. Pure and Applied Math., **37**(1984), 39–90.

[24] E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, A course of modern analysis, 4th ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1927).

College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, People's Republic of China

E-mail address: corresponding author: jianxu@usst.edu.cn